



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF  
PREVENTION, PESTICIDES  
AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

PC Code No.: 035506  
DP Barcode(s): D168409, D168404, D179499,  
D181490, D177715, D193670  
EFGWB#(s): 91-0929, 91-0930, 92-1045,  
92-1273, 92-0824, 93-0953

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Linuron RED Candidate - EFGWB Science Chapter

TO: Linda Propst, Product Manager #73  
Peg Perreault, Product Reviewer  
Reregistration Branch, Section III  
Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W)

FROM: William R. Effland, Ph.D.  
Chemistry Review Section #2  
EFGWB/EFED (7507C)

Estella Waldman, Hydrologist  
Kevin Costello, Geologist  
Ground Water Technology Section  
EFGWB/EFED (7507C)

THROUGH: Mah T. Shamim, Ph.D., Acting Section Head  
Chemistry Review Section #2  
EFGWB/EFED (7507C)

Elizabeth Behl, Section Head  
Ground Water Technology Section  
EFGWB/EFED (7507C)

Henry M. Jacoby, Branch Chief  
Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch

## Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

The attached document contains the Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch (EFGWB) Science Chapter for the List A Reregistration Eligibility Document (RED) for Linuron. The RED Science Chapter is divided into five sections -- Executive Summary; Summary of the Environmental Fate Assessment, Use Patterns and Environmental Fate Data Requirements; Technical Summaries in support of the Environmental Fate data requirements; Assessment of Linuron Detected in Ground Water; and Recommendations with Table A which summarizes the generic data requirements.

Acceptable information from environmental fate studies with respect to persistence of linuron under laboratory conditions has been reviewed. These studies (degradation and metabolism processes) indicate linuron is moderately persistent with degradation principally through biotic processes such as aerobic and anaerobic metabolism in contrast to abiotic processes such as hydrolysis and photolysis. The information on mobility in the environmental fate data base is either partially acceptable or supplemental.

At this time, the following environmental fate data requirements are not fulfilled -- Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (163-1) and Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-1). The Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption studies are required to provide information on mobility of the pesticide and major degradates. The Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (163-1) data requirement is not fulfilled because information on the mobility of the major linuron degradates formed under anaerobic conditions (desmethoxy linuron, desmethoxy monolinuron, norlinuron) is not currently available. Studies of terrestrial field dissipation provide data to evaluate patterns of pesticide residue dissipation in field environments. The Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-1) studies are partially acceptable at this time or supplemental because the patterns of formation and decline of total linuron residues could not be assessed; and field test procedures and analytical methodology were not completely described.

The additional data required for the mobility and terrestrial field dissipation studies will be used to help determine the principal routes and rates of dissipation of linuron and its significant degradates under typical use conditions. The mobility data (partitioning coefficients,  $K_{ds}$ ) will be used to assess the mobility of the primary degradates of linuron and may be applied to complete computer simulation modeling of the fate and transport of the primary degradates. Additional data required for the terrestrial field dissipation studies are necessary to assess the rates and pathways of dissipation of parent linuron and its primary degradates. Information on the persistence, mobility, and dissipation pathways of several primary degradates of linuron is not currently available; therefore, the attached environmental fate assessment must be considered incomplete and tentative.

The environmental data base for parent linuron is essentially complete. Based on current information in the environmental fate data base, linuron is moderately persistent and relatively immobile. The principal route of dissipation of linuron is through biotic processes such as aerobic and anaerobic microbial degradation. Abiotic processes such as hydrolysis, photolysis, and volatilization do not appear to be significant routes of dissipation. Review of partially acceptable and supplemental information on the mobility of linuron suggests that linuron is

primarily sorbed to soil organic matter. Information obtained from the environmental fate studies indicates the potential for linuron to leach to ground water is limited by sorption and microbial degradation. Increased mobility of linuron may occur under specific environmental conditions (e.g., coarse textured soils; soils with low organic matter levels). For this reason, EFGWB recommends that prospective ground-water monitoring studies be conducted to determine the environmental fate of linuron in both vulnerable and representative use conditions. EFGWB also recommends the addition of a ground-water advisory statement to the linuron label, consideration of linuron for restricted use classification based on ground-water concerns, additional label restrictions, and the establishment of criteria for additional mitigation, suspension, and voluntary cancellation. Linuron, present as either dissolved species and/or sorbed to entrained sediments in surface runoff, could potentially also be transported to surface water bodies (lakes, streams, etc.).

# ENVIRONMENTAL FATE SCIENCE CHAPTER FOR LINURON REREGISTRATION

## SECTION 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Linuron (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea), a pre- and post-emergent herbicide, is used for control of many annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in terrestrial food, terrestrial non-food, and forestry use areas. Following review of acceptable, partially acceptable and supplemental information in the environmental fate data base, linuron appears to be moderately persistent and relatively immobile. Increased mobility of linuron may occur under specific environmental conditions (e.g., coarse textured soils; soils with low organic matter levels). Linuron dissipates principally by biotic processes such as microbial degradation. Degradation of linuron by abiotic processes (hydrolysis, photolysis, volatilization) does not appear to be a significant route of dissipation. Partially acceptable and supplemental information on leaching and adsorption/desorption suggests that linuron is primarily adsorbed to soil organic matter with limited adsorption to the inorganic, mineral phase of soil. Linuron would tend to be more mobile in surface soils with low organic matter levels, subsoils or subsoils exposed on the land surface because of erosion. Decreased adsorption in low organic matter soil horizons may result in enhanced mobility and increased leaching potential of parent linuron. For surface soils with adequate organic matter levels, the combined processes of adsorption and microbial degradation would limit the potential for linuron to migrate to ground water. Transport of linuron dissolved in surface runoff and/or in suspended sediment through runoff to surface water bodies (lakes, streams, etc.) could result; however, based on degradation rates and by-products from anaerobic aquatic metabolism studies, fairly rapid degradation of parent linuron to three primary metabolites (desmethoxy linuron, desmethoxy monolinuron, norlinuron) would occur. Information on the mobility and persistence of these primary degradates is not currently available from the studies submitted for the environmental fate data base.

## SECTION 2. SUMMARY OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL FATE ASSESSMENT

Following review of acceptable, limited and supplemental information in the environmental fate data base, linuron appears to be moderately persistent and relatively immobile. Increased mobility of linuron may occur under specific environmental conditions (e.g., coarse textured soils; soils with low organic matter levels). Degradation of parent linuron is primarily microbially-mediated with an aerobic soil half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) of 49 days and an anaerobic aquatic  $t_{1/2}$  <21 days. Abiotic processes such as hydrolysis ( $t_{1/2}$  >30 days for pH 5, 7, 9; calculated average  $t_{1/2}$  7945 days) and photolysis (aqueous  $t_{1/2}$  >30 days; soil  $t_{1/2}$  >15 days) are of limited effectiveness in degrading linuron. The relatively low vapor pressure of linuron ( $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24°C) suggests that volatility and subsequent photolysis in the atmosphere would not be a significant route of dissipation. Partially acceptable and supplemental information from terrestrial field dissipation studies in California and Delaware reports  $t_{1/2}$ s ranging from 75 to 100 days for California and a terrestrial field dissipation  $t_{1/2}$  of 57 days for Delaware. Linuron does not bioaccumulate in bluegill sunfish with bioconcentration factors (BCFs) ranging from 240 for muscle, carcass and whole fish, to a maximum BCF of 240 for sunfish viscera. Elimination of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron was 92% complete after a 14-day depuration period.

Based on partially acceptable and supplemental information in the data base, linuron is slightly mobile in coarse textured soils ( $K_{\text{ads}} = 2.7\text{-}5.0$  mL/g) and relatively immobile in fine-textured soils ( $K_{\text{ads}} \geq 7.5$  mL/g). Interpretation of mobility based on soil texture information alone may not be valid because linuron adsorption appears to be controlled by soil organic matter. Adsorption of linuron was positively correlated with soil organic matter; therefore, surface soil horizons with higher amounts of organic matter typically display greater adsorption of linuron. The adsorption of linuron primarily to soil organic matter may indicate a tendency for linuron to display enhanced mobility if the applied herbicide is transported from the surface horizons immediately following application. Enhanced mobility could result if linuron is applied to surface soils low in organic matter or if heavy rainfall occurs following field application. Furthermore, degradation of linuron is primarily microbially-mediated, thus movement of linuron into less biologically-active subsoils may increase persistence and the possibility of downward translocation (leaching) of linuron under specific environmental conditions. Linuron adsorbed to entrained soil particles or dissolved in surface runoff may also transport the applied herbicide from the targeted field areas to surface water bodies; however, based on the results from the anaerobic aquatic metabolism studies, relatively rapid metabolism to three primary degradates (desmethoxy linuron, desmethoxy monolinuron, norlinuron) is expected. Information on the persistence and mobility of these three degradates is necessary to complete a comprehensive environmental fate assessment.

Information reported in the "Pesticides in Ground Water Database" (Hoheisel et al., 1992) shows detections of linuron in 111 of the 1,666 wells sampled. Linuron concentrations in ground water ranged from 0.042-5.00 µg/L with four states reporting detectable levels. Georgia reported linuron concentrations ranging from 1-5 µg/L for 67 of 70 wells sampled; Missouri showed levels of 0.2-1.9 µg/L for 38 of 269 wells sampled; Virginia listed linuron detections in 5 of 12 wells sampled with concentrations ranging from 0.04-3.8 µg/L; and Wisconsin had 1 detection of 3.0 µg/L in 26 sampled wells.

## Chemical Information

Common Name: Linuron

Chemical Name: 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea

Trade Name: Linex 50DF, Lorox Plus, Lorox L, Gemini

Structure:

### Physical/Chemical Properties:

Molecular formula:  $C_9H_{10}Cl_2N_2O_2$

Molecular weight: 249.1

Physical state: Colorless crystals

Melting point: 93-94° C

Vapor pressure:  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24° C

Solubility: 81 mg/L in water at 24° C

## Use Patterns

The following information on use patterns was obtained from labeling material and the LUIS Report dated 5/15/92. Linuron (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea) is a broad spectrum herbicide for control of many annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in terrestrial food, terrestrial non-food and forestry use areas. Linuron, a substituted urea herbicide, controls numerous weeds reportedly through inhibition of photosynthesis. Linuron is used as a pre- and post-emergent selective herbicide to control various broadleaf weeds and annual grasses such as annual ryegrass, buttonweed, canarygrass, chickweed, crabgrass, dog fennel, fall panicum, foxtail grasses, goosegrass, lambsquarters, morning glory, mustard, nettleleaf, pigweed, purslane, ragweed, smartweed, velvetleaf, wild buckwheat, wild radish and others in field corn, sweet corn (layby), grain sorghum, soybeans, asparagus, carrots, celery (post transplant), parsnips, potatoes, cotton (layby), and wheat (Pacific Northwest). Linuron has been proposed for use on parsley and is also for short-term control of annual weeds in terrestrial nonfood areas such as roadsides and fence rows. Additional application areas include ornamental herbaceous plants such as Dutch iris, daffodil, calla lily and tulip bulbs and weed control for hybrid poplar trees.

Field application of linuron is performed with ground spray equipment such as a tractor-mounted, fixed-boom sprayer. Aerial applications are prohibited. Single active ingredient formulations are emulsifiable and flowable concentrates, wettable powder, flowable liquid, and water dispersible granular (dry flowable). Multiple active ingredient formulations include other herbicides such as atrazine, chloramben, metribuzin, metolachlor, oryzalin,

paraquat, propachlor, propazine, and trietazine. Linuron may be tank mixed with 2,4-D and lenacil. Typical use rates range from 0.5-3.0 lb ai/A, depending on crop and soil type. According to label directions, maximum application rates of 4 lb ai/A are recommended for fine-textured soils such as clays and silty clays.

### Status of Data Requirements

The environmental fate assessment was based on the following acceptable studies:

- 161-1: Hydrolysis (MRID# 40916201);
- 161-2: Photodegradation in Water (MRID# 40103601);
- 161-3: Photodegradation on Soil (MRID# 40171711);
- 162-1: Aerobic Soil Metabolism (MRID# 41625401);
- 162-3: Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism (MRID# 40142501);
- 164-4: Bioaccumulation in Fish (Accession No. 258300).

The environmental fate assessment was based on the following partially acceptable studies:

- 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (MRID# 00148443; Acc. No. 257620);
- 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (Accession No. 255830);
- 164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation (MRID# 41734201).

The environmental fate assessment was based on the following supplemental studies:

- 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (MRID# 05016640);
- 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (MRID# 05019711);
- 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (MRID# 05019500);
- 164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation (MRID# 41734202).

## SECTION 3. TECHNICAL SUMMARIES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL FATE STUDIES

The following data summary is derived from studies considered **acceptable** by EFGWB:

### 161-1: Hydrolysis

Stevenson, I.E. 1988. Hydrolysis of [phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C(U)]linuron in water buffered at pH 5, pH 7, and pH 9. MRID# 40916201

Phenyl-labeled [<sup>14</sup>C] linuron (radiochemical purity 97%), at 730 ppm, did not hydrolytically degrade in sterile aqueous 0.005-0.010 M buffer solutions adjusted to pH 5, 7, or 9 and incubated in the dark at 25 ± 1° C for 30 days. At 30 days posttreatment, 96.0-98.4% of the applied [<sup>14</sup>C] linuron remained undegraded; the registrant calculated half-lives for linuron in the buffer solutions averaged 945 days. Minor degradates, each found at 71% of the applied, were 3,4-dichlorobenzeneamine (DCA), N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N'-methylurea (DCPMU), N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N'-methoxyurea (DML), and (3,4-dichlorophenyl)urea (DCPU). During the 30-day study, measured volatiles

were  $\leq 0.04\%$  of the applied radioactivity. Material balances ranged from 94.4 to 107% of the applied radioactivity.

#### 161-2: Photodegradation in Water

Buchta, R.C. 1986. Photodegradation of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron in water. MRID# 40103601

Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron (radiochemical purity 99%), at 18 ppm, degraded with a half-life of  $>30$  days (registrant-calculated half-life of 49 days) in a sterile aqueous pH 5 buffered solution irradiated with natural sunlight (May in Wilmington, DE) at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . At 30 days posttreatment (total light intensity = 196,006 Watt-hours/ $\text{m}^2$ ), linuron comprised 61.6% of the applied radioactivity: volatiles totaled 10.2% of the applied and unidentified degradates (at least 8 separate peaks) each accounted for up to 5.1% of the applied. In the dark control after 30 days, 92.1% of the recovered was undegraded parent linuron, suggesting the observed degradation was primarily photolytic rather than hydrolytic. The ultraviolet-visible light absorption spectrum for linuron at 18 ppm displayed absorption maxima at 210, 245, and 280 nm with some overlap at  $>290$  nm, further supporting direct photolysis of the parent linuron.

#### 161-3: Photodegradation on Soil

Brown, A.M. 1986. Photodegradation of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron on soil. MRID# 40171711

Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron (radiochemical purity  $>98\%$ ), at 7.5 lb ai/A (1.63 mg/plate), degraded with a half-life  $>15$  days on silt loam soil irradiated continuously with a Pyrex glass-filtered xenon arc light at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After 15 days of irradiation, the soil contained 78.8% of the recovered radioactivity as parent linuron. Minor degradates identified were norlinuron, desmethyl linuron, and 3,4-dichloroaniline (each  $<8.4\%$  of the recovered). Unidentified polar compounds comprised  $<4\%$  of the recovered, unextractable compounds were  $<2.5\%$  of the recovered, and volatiles were  $<0.1\%$  of the recovered at all sampling intervals. In the dark controls, parent linuron accounted for 96.5% of the recovered radioactivity after 15 days, suggesting that degradation was primarily photolytic and not biologically-mediated. Material balance for all samples ranged from 95 to 123% of the applied and averaged 110% of the applied.

#### 162-1: Aerobic Soil Metabolism

Schneiders, G.E. 1990. Aerobic soil metabolism of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron in Hanford sandy loam. MRID# 41625401

Linuron degraded with a half-life of 49 days in sandy loam soil that was incubated in the dark at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 75% of 0.33 bar moisture content. The primary nonvolatile degradate was 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methylurea (desmethoxy linuron; maximum average concentration of 3.0% of the applied at 120 days posttreatment, decreasing to 1.9% of the applied by 365 days); other nonvolatile degradates were 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxyurea (desmethyl linuron; maximum average concentration of 2.1% of the applied at 365 days posttreatment) and 1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)urea (norlinuron; maximum average concentration of 1.9% of the applied



at 28 days). By 12 months posttreatment, unidentified polar [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]residues increased to 4.7% (0.20 ppm) of the applied and "other" unidentified [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]residues comprised 1.8% (0.07 ppm). At 12 months posttreatment,  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  was the major degradate (totaled 69% of the applied).

### 162-3: Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism

Monson, K.D. 1986. Anaerobic aquatic metabolism of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$ (U)] linuron. MRID# 40142501

Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron (radiochemical purity 88%), at 5 ppm, degraded with a half-life of <3 weeks in nonsterile anaerobic (flooding plus  $\text{N}_2$  atmosphere) silt loam or sand soil:water (1:1) system incubated in the dark at 24° C. [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] Linuron was not detected (detection limit not specified) in either system by 26 weeks posttreatment. In the silt loam soil system at three weeks posttreatment (first sampling interval following treatment), 10.8% of the applied radioactivity remained as parent linuron (registrant-calculated half-life of 1 week). The two major degradates were desmethoxy linuron (maximum of 46.7% of the applied at 3 weeks posttreatment) and desmethoxy monolinuron (maximum of 78% of the applied at 26 weeks). Minor degradates, each <5.7% of the applied, were desmethyl linuron, norlinuron, and dichloroaniline. Unidentified (polar compounds: unidentified compounds; background radioactivity) and unextractable [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] residues accounted for up to 21.8 and 27% of the applied, respectively. In the sand soil system, the major degradates were desmethoxy linuron (maximum of 84.6% of the applied at 26 weeks) and norlinuron (maximum of 33% of the applied at 52 weeks). Minor degradates, each found at <5% of the applied, were desmethyl linuron, dichloroaniline, and desmethoxy monolinuron. Unidentified and unextractable [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] residues comprised up to 28.4 and 16.4% of the applied, respectively. Except for the samples taken immediately posttreatment, the majority of the radioactivity in both soil:water systems was associated with the soil fraction.

In anaerobic sterile silt loam and sand soil systems, phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] degraded with half-lives of <4 weeks (registrant-calculated half-life of 3.5 weeks) and >52 weeks, respectively. In the sterile silt loam system, only 14.6% of the applied remained as undegraded parent linuron at 4 weeks posttreatment, whereas, in the sterile sand soil system, 62.4% of the applied remained as undegraded linuron at 52 weeks posttreatment. The registrant stated that the sterile silt loam system may not have been anaerobic (reported redox potential of 216 millivolts; pH unspecified); therefore, more rapid degradation was observed in the silt loam system relative to the sand soil system. Furthermore, the sterility of the silt loam system was not confirmed and microbial metabolic processes may have increased the degradation rate.

### 164-4: Bioaccumulation in Fish

Butler, L.D. 1985. Laboratory studies of phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$  linuron bioconcentration in bluegill sunfish. Accession #258300

[ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] Linuron (>99% pure) at 0.1 and 1.0 ppm, accumulated in bluegill sunfish, with maximum bioconcentration factors of 34x, 39x, 49x, and 240x, in muscle, carcass, whole fish, and viscera, respectively. After 28 days of exposure, [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron residues consisted of desmethyl linuron (?18-24%), linuron (15-22%), norlinuron (7-10%), and glucuronide residues (8-12%). No

analyses of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron residues were completed on the muscle tissue. Elimination of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron residues was >66% after a 1-day depuration period and 92% complete after a 14-day depuration period.

The following data summary is derived from studies considered **partially acceptable** by EFGWB:

### 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption

Priester, T.M. 1985. Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$ (U)] linuron. MRID# 00148443; Accession No. 257620

Priester, T.M. 1988. Supplement #1: Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$ (U)] linuron. MRID# 40559001

Priester, T.M. 1992. Supplement #2: Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$ (U)] linuron. MRID# 42264601

Soil adsorption/desorption of uniformly-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron (purity >99%) was studied using batch equilibrium tests of 4 soils. Measured  $K_{\text{ads}}$  suggest that linuron is slightly mobile in coarse textured soils (Woodstown sandy loam [DE]; fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Aquic Hapludults; 60% sand, 33% silt, 7% clay; pH = 6.6; Cecil sandy loam [NC]; clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Kanhapludults; 61% sand, 21% silt, 18% clay; pH = 6.5) and relatively immobile in fine textured soils (Flanagan silt loam [IL]; fine, montmorillonitic, mesic, Aquic Argiudolls; 2% sand, 81% silt, 17% clay; pH = 5.4; Keyport silt loam [DE]; clayey, mixed, mesic Aquic Hapludults; 12% sand, 83% silt, 5% clay; pH = 5.2). Interpretation of mobility based on soil texture information alone may not be valid because linuron adsorption appears to be controlled by soil organic matter. Adsorption of linuron was positively correlated with soil organic matter content.

Soil Type	Clay (%)	Organic Matter (%)	CEC (meq/100g)	$K_{\text{ads}}$ (mL/g)	$K_{\text{des}}$ (mL/g)	$K_{\text{ads,om}}$ (mL/g)	$K_{\text{des,om}}$ (mL/g)
Woodstown sl <sup>1</sup>	7	1.1	5.3	2.7	3.6	241	327
Cecil sl	18	2.1	6.6	5.0	4.5	238	214
Flanagan sil <sup>2</sup>	17	4.3	21.1	7.7	4.7	179	109
Keyport sil	5	7.5	15.5	7.2	4.8	96	65

Notes: <sup>1</sup>sl = sandy loam; <sup>2</sup>sil = silt loam

### 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption

Chrzanowski, R.L. 1984. Soil column adsorption studies with Lorox linuron weed killer. Accession No. 255830

Based on the results of soil column leaching studies, linuron (unaged and "aged" 30 days) was slightly mobile to relatively immobile in Fallsington sandy loam (Glasgow, DE; 59% sand, 30% silt, 10% clay; 0.79% organic matter (OM); pH = 6.6; CEC = 5.2 meq/100g) and Flanagan silty clay loam (Rochelle, IL; 5% sand, 64% silt, 31% clay; 4.0% OM; pH = 5.0; CEC = 23.4

meq/100g) soil columns, respectively. For the unaged tests, after leaching 18-in. repacked soil columns (2-in. diameter) with 20 in. of water, 0.4% of the applied radioactivity was present in the leachate for both soils. For the "aged" tests under similar experimental conditions, 0.3 and 0.2% of the applied was measured in the leachate. For the unaged and "aged" tests on the Fallsington sandy loam, maximum linuron concentrations were found at the 6-8 in. depth (?25% of the applied) and 8-10 in. depth (?23% of the applied), respectively. The unaged and "aged" tests on the Flanagan silty clay loam exhibited maximum linuron concentrations at the 0-2 in. depth (?83 and 75% of the applied, respectively).

Additional data required for the leaching/adsorption/desorption studies will be used to help determine the mobility of linuron's significant degradates under typical use conditions. The mobility data (partitioning coefficients,  $K_d$ s) may be applied to complete computer simulation models assessing the fate and transport of the primary degradates.

#### 164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation

Eble, J.E. 1990. Field soil dissipation of linuron herbicide. MRID# 41734201

Linuron dissipated with a calculated half-life of 100 days from the upper 15 cm of a plot of sandy loam soil in California after an application of linuron (Lorox DF, 50% dry flowable) at 6 lb ai/A. and with a half-life of 57 days from the upper 15 cm of a plot of silty clay loam soil in Delaware after an application of linuron (Lorox L, 4 lb ai/gallon flowable concentrate) at 1 lb ai/A. Total linuron residues (linuron plus its degradates desmethoxy-linuron, desmethyl-linuron, norlinuron, and 3,4-dichloroaniline hydrolyzed to 3,4-dichloroaniline) dissipated from the 0- to 15-cm soil depth with an observed half-life of approximately 9-12 months at both sites. Parent linuron was detected at low levels (?0.02 ppm) for one month posttreatment at both sites in soil samples collected from the 15-30 cm depth. Total linuron residues were detected in the 15- to 30-cm soil layer at both sites (<0.01-0.05 ppm); soil layers below 30 cm were not analyzed for total linuron residues. For sampling depths deeper than 30 cm, the 15-cm soil segments "for selected sampling intervals" were either analyzed as 30-45 cm samples or composited into 30- to 90-cm samples; parent linuron was not reported at concentrations above the detection limit (<0.01 ppm).

Studies of terrestrial field dissipation provide data to evaluate patterns of pesticide residue dissipation in field environments. Additional information is required for the terrestrial field dissipation studies because the patterns of formation and decline of total linuron residues could not be assessed; and field test procedures and analytical methodology were not completely described.

#### 164-1: Freezer Storage Stability In Soil

Tomic, D.M. 1992. Freezer storage stability of linuron in soil. MRID# 42422801

Linuron appeared to be stable in silty clay loam soil that was treated with linuron [3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea; purity 98.8%] at 1 ppm and stored frozen (-20 °C) for up to 30 months. At 30 months posttreatment, parent linuron comprised 86-90% of the applied (percent recovery normalized to recovery from fresh fortifications). During the study,

recovery of linuron from stored soil samples ranged from 82 to 115% of the applied (normalized). If samples are stored frozen for longer than 30 months prior to analysis, storage stability information for longer periods will be required. In addition, storage stability data are needed for individual degradates of linuron.

Actual recoveries of applied linuron from stored fortified soil decreased in the 24-, 26-, and 30-month samples; however, the decreased recoveries from stored soil samples coincided with poor recoveries from freshly fortified samples. Parent linuron comprised 76-114% of the applied in the soil samples stored for 0 to 18 months, then decreased to 56-66% of applied in the samples stored for 24 and 30 months. Similarly, linuron comprised 78-112% of the applied in freshly fortified soil samples extracted concurrently with the 0- to 18-month stored soil and decreased to 60-73% of applied in freshly fortified samples extracted concurrently with the 24- to 30-month stored samples.

The following data summary is derived from studies considered **supplemental** by EFGWB:

#### 163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption

Abernathy, J.R. 1972. Linuron, chlorbromuron, nitrofen, and fluorodifen adsorption and movement in twelve selected Illinois soils. MRID# 05019500

Grover, R. 1975. Adsorption and desorption of urea herbicides on soils. MRID# 05016640

Hance, R.J. 1971. Complex formation as an adsorption mechanism for linuron and atrazine. MRID# 05019711

Several early investigations of the adsorption of linuron provide supplemental information which indicates sorption is probably related to the organic matter content of soils. In a study of the adsorption and desorption of urea herbicides, Grover (1975) reported adsorption of linuron was significantly correlated with soil organic matter but not clay content. Desorption of linuron was limited in a high organic matter (10.5%) loam soil when compared to four other soils ranging from 6.5-1.8% organic matter and 8-70% clay. Hance (1971) postulated that the formation of complexes with exchangeable cations could play a significant role in linuron adsorption in soil. Abernathy (1972) showed adsorption of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron for 12 selected Illinois soils was highly correlated to organic matter with no correlations between adsorption of linuron and temperature, pH, clay, silt, or sand.

#### 164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation

Eble, J.E. 1990. Field soil dissipation of linuron herbicide in California soil. MRID# 41734202

Linuron dissipated with a registrant-calculated half-life of 75 days from the upper 15 cm of a plot (15 x 80 feet) of sandy clay loam soil planted to soybeans in California following a preemergence application of linuron [3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea; Lorox DF, 50% dry flowable] at 6 lb ai/A in June 1989. In the 0- to 15-cm soil depth, linuron decreased from an average of 1.14-2.07 ppm at 0-1 days posttreatment (maximum 3.31 ppm at 1 day) to 0.58 ppm at 7 days, increased to 1.21 ppm at 14 days, then decreased to 1.05 ppm at 29 days, 0.56 ppm at 90 days, 0.18 ppm at 181 days, and 0.05 ppm at 365 days (Table II). Linuron may have leached into lower soil depths (15- to 30- and 30- to 90-cm depths); however, analysis

of the pattern of leaching appeared to have been confounded by contamination of several of the subsurface soil samples during sampling. In the 15- to 30-cm depth, linuron was detected at an average of 0.02-0.03 ppm at 0-1 days posttreatment, 0.01 ppm at 7 days, 0.04 ppm (maximum 0.07 ppm) at 14 days, <0.01-0.03 ppm at 29-119 days, and <0.01 ppm (limit of quantitation) at 181, 270, and 365 days. In the 30- to 45-cm soil depth, linuron was detected at an average of 0.12 ppm (maximum 0.22 ppm) at 0 day posttreatment. In the 30- to 90-cm soil depth, linuron increased from an average of 0.02 ppm at 1-7 days posttreatment to 0.09 ppm (maximum 0.14 ppm) at 14 days, and was  $\leq 0.01$  ppm at 29-365 days.

#### SECTION 4. ASSESSMENT OF LINURON DETECTIONS IN GROUND WATER

To date, linuron has been detected in ground water in four states -- Georgia, Missouri, Virginia, and Wisconsin (Hoheisel et al., 1992). Review of the studies in which the ground water detections were reported gave the following results:

##### 1. Georgia

Detections in ground water were solely from STORET which did not allow a detailed review. Concentrations of linuron ranged from 1 to 5  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (ppb).

##### 2. Missouri

Rural private wells in agricultural areas of Missouri were monitored for pesticide residues. Linuron was detected at concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 1.9  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (Sievers and Fulhage, 1989a and 1991). In another study conducted in Missouri (Sievers and Fulhage, 1989b), linuron was also detected in ground water in rural agricultural wells at levels ranging from 0.48 to 0.9  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . The study examined ground-water quality in eight major agricultural areas in the state, without regard to the vulnerability of the soils to leaching, nor to areas of high linuron use.

Dennis Sievers (personal communication, 1994) related to the GWTS that there were some interference problems with the mass spectrometer detector due to sulfur and organic matter. Mr. Sievers was very confident regarding the linuron detections above 1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , but less confident with the detections reported below 1  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . No information was provided about the wells, depth to ground water, or detection limits.

##### 3. Virginia

Eight monitoring wells and four household wells were sampled for a suite of pesticides including linuron (Mostaghimi, 1992). There were no indications of point-source contamination or problems with the wells during the study. Linuron was detected in 50% of the monitoring wells (4 of 8 wells) at levels ranging from 0.35 to 1.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . The extensive QA/QC plan for the sampling program and GC analysis provided a high degree of confidence for these detections.

##### 4. Wisconsin

In a Wisconsin study (Postle and Brey, 1991), monitoring wells were located in areas that were highly vulnerable to ground-water contamination. All detections were from areas with normal field use conditions. Linuron was detected at one site at concentrations that ranged from 1.3 to 2.7 µg/L.

Using a Reference Dose (RfD) of 0.002 mg/kg/day in a dog feeding study, the lifetime Health Advisory for linuron in drinking water was estimated to be 1.4 µg/L. Linuron has been placed in Cancer Group C (unquantified) indicating that it is a possible human carcinogen. Linuron has been detected in ground water in four states including Georgia, Missouri, Virginia, and Wisconsin at levels ranging up to 5.00 µg/L (Hoheisel et al., 1992).

Linuron exhibits some of the properties and characteristics associated with chemicals that have been detected in ground water. Linuron is a persistent chemical with an aerobic soil metabolism half-life that ranges from 84 to 91 days (12 to 13 weeks). In addition, its field dissipation half-life has been reported to range from a minimum of 57 days to a maximum of 100 days (14 to 14 weeks, respectively). Based on its persistence, linuron use may have a significant impact on ground-water quality.

Because linuron is persistent and may be mobile under certain environmental conditions, it has the potential to significantly impact ground-water quality at levels that may affect human health. To date, linuron residues have been detected in ground water in three states above estimated lifetime Health Advisory levels. Potential concentrations of linuron in ground water are not likely to exceed the other risk-based Levels of Concern for ecological effects (see Figure 1).

#### Linuron Detections in Ground Water Exceed the Following Levels of Concern:

**GROUND-WATER QUALITY.** Linuron has been detected in ground water in Georgia, Missouri, Virginia, and Wisconsin with detectable levels above the estimated toxicity threshold for humans. Considering the widespread use of linuron and its environmental fate characteristics, EFGWB is concerned about the degradation of water quality that might occur in linuron use areas.

**HUMAN HEALTH.** Linuron residues have been detected in ground water at levels which exceed the estimated lifetime Health Advisory. To date, no information is available about the degradates in ground water, but additional information on the persistence and mobility of the degradates has been requested in this document. If the toxicity of the three degradates is similar to the parent, the combined concentrations of parent linuron and its degradates in ground water may greatly exceed the levels of concern for human health.

#### SECTION 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Because linuron exceeds certain Levels of Concern for ground water, EFGWB recommends the following:

1. Linuron has been detected in ground water. Therefore, all product labels should carry the

following advisory:

"This chemical is known to leach through soil into ground water under certain conditions as a result of agricultural use. Use of this chemical in areas where soils are permeable, particularly where the water table is shallow, may result in ground-water contamination."

2. EFGWB recommends that prospective ground-water monitoring studies be conducted for linuron. In order to determine the potential of this chemical to leach to ground water, an adequate number of studies should be conducted to characterize linuron use. Study areas should include those with coarse-textured soils low in organic matter, and those more representative of typical linuron use areas.
3. Linuron meets the persistence and mobility triggers for classification as a restricted use chemical for ground-water concerns. EFGWB recommends that linuron be considered for classification as a restricted use chemical based on ground-water concerns.
4. Linuron has been detected in ground water as a result of normal agricultural use at levels that exceed its estimated lifetime Health Advisory. The registrant should determine the areas that are vulnerable to ground-water contamination by linuron, and recommend label restrictions.
5. The registrant and EPA will agree, as a condition of reregistration eligibility, to establish criteria for additional mitigation, suspension, and voluntary cancellation as a consequence of monitoring study results.

#### Environmental Fate Data Requirements

The current status of the environmental fate data requirements for the terrestrial food, terrestrial non-food, and forestry use patterns is briefly summarized below and outlined in detail in the attached Table A.

<u>Data Requirement</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>MRID No.</u>
161-1: Hydrolysis	Fulfilled	40916201
161-2: Photolysis in Water	Fulfilled	40103601
161-3: Photolysis on Soil	Fulfilled	40171701
161-4: Photolysis in Air	Waived <sup>1</sup>	----
162-1: Aerobic Soil Metabolism	Fulfilled	00125244 41625401
162-2: Anaerobic Soil Metabolism	Fulfilled	40142501
162-3: Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism	Fulfilled	40142501
163-1: Leaching/Sorption	Not fulfilled <sup>2</sup>	00148443 Acc.#255830
163-2: Volatility (Laboratory)	Waived <sup>1</sup>	----
163-3: Volatility (Field)	Waived <sup>1</sup>	----

164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation	Not fulfilled <sup>3</sup>	41734201 41734202 42422801 40730101
165-1: Confined Rotational Crop	Fulfilled	
165-2: Field Rotational Crop	Waived <sup>4</sup>	----
165-4: Bioaccumulation in Fish	Fulfilled	Acc.# 258300
166-1: Ground Water - Prospective	Not Fulfilled <sup>5</sup>	----

#### Notes:

- <sup>1</sup> The Photodegradation in Air (161-4), Volatility (Laboratory; 163-2) and Volatility (Field; 163-3) data requirements were waived because the reported vapor pressure of linuron is  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24° C.
- <sup>2</sup> The Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (163-1) data requirement is not fulfilled because information on the  $K_{ds}$  for the major linuron degradates under anaerobic conditions (desmethoxy linuron, desmethoxy monolinuron, norlinuron) is not currently available. Adsorption coefficients ( $K_{ds}$ ) may be determined using batch equilibrium test methodology.
- <sup>3</sup> The Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-1) data requirement is not fulfilled because the patterns of formation and decline of total linuron residues could not be assessed; and field test procedures and analytical methodology were not completely described. The California study may be upgradeable if additional information on study methods and early soil sample results can be provided; however, the Delaware study can not be upgraded because the consistent presence of linuron in the control plot confounds accurate assessment of the pattern of formation and decline of total linuron residues. A new study is needed to satisfy the data requirement.
- <sup>4</sup> Information on the 165-2 data requirement waiver may be obtained from RCB/HED (Review Date 3/23/90).
- <sup>5</sup> In order to determine the potential of this chemical to leach to ground water, an adequate number of studies should be conducted to characterize linuron use. Study areas should include those with coarse-textured soils low in organic matter, and those more representative of typical linuron use areas.

The following data requirements are fulfilled:

161-1: Hydrolysis - The study by Stevenson (1988; MRID# 40916201) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Hydrolysis data requirement. Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron did not degrade via hydrolysis in sterile buffer solutions at pH 5, 7, or 9 and incubated in the dark at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 days.

161-2: Photodegradation in Water - A study by Buchta (1986; MRID# 40103601) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Photodegradation in Water data requirement. Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron degraded slowly with a half-life of >30 days (registrant-calculated half-life of 49 days) in sterile aqueous pH 5 buffer solution irradiated with natural sunlight (May in Wilmington, DE) at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ .

161-3: Photodegradation on Soil - The study by Brown (1986; MRID# 40171711) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Photodegradation on Soil data requirement. Phenyl-labeled [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] linuron degraded very slowly with a half-life >15 days on silt loam soil irradiated continuously with a Pyrex glass-filtered xenon arc light at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ .

162-1: Aerobic Soil Metabolism - The study by Schneiders (1990; MRID# 41625401) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Aerobic Soil Metabolism data requirement. Linuron degraded with a half-life of 49 days in sandy loam soil that was incubated in the dark at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  and 75% of 0.33 bar moisture content. Several degradates were reported in small



concentrations (desmethoxy linuron, 73%; desmethyl linuron, 72%; norlinuron, 72%). At 12 months posttreatment, CO<sub>2</sub> was the major degradate (770% of the applied).

162-2: Anaerobic Soil Metabolism - No studies were reviewed. The Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism study was used to fulfill this data requirement.

162-3: Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism - The study by Monson (1986; MRID# 40142501) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Anaerobic Soil Metabolism data requirement. Phenyl-labeled [<sup>14</sup>C] linuron degraded with a half-life of <3 weeks in nonsterile anaerobic silt loam and sand soil: water (1:1) systems incubated in the dark at 24° C. Primary degradates were desmethoxy linuron (range of 750-85% of the applied), desmethoxy monolinuron (778% of the applied in the silt loam), and norlinuron (733% of the applied in the sand soil). Minor (<5% of the applied) degradates were desmethyl linuron and dichloroaniline.

165-4: Bioaccumulation in Fish - The study by Butler (1985, Accession #258300) was reviewed and found acceptable for fulfilling the Bioaccumulation in Fish data requirement. Linuron residues accumulated in bluegill sunfish during 28 days of exposure to water treated at 0.1 and 1.0 ppm [<sup>14</sup>C] linuron. Maximum bioconcentration factors were 49x for whole fish, 240x for viscera, 34x for muscle and 39x for carcass tissues. After 28 days of exposure, linuron residues in the viscera were identified as desmethyl linuron, norlinuron, and glucuronide conjugates. The edible tissues were not analyzed for linuron residues. Residues rapidly declined to 710% of maximum levels after the 14-day depuration period.

The following data requirements are not fulfilled:

163-1: Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption - Two studies were reviewed (Preister, 1985; MRID# 00148443; Chrzanowski, 1984; Accession No. 255830) and provided partially acceptable information on the mobility of linuron. Based on the results of the two studies and supplemental information from three peer-reviewed journal publications on linuron mobility, linuron appears to be slightly mobile in coarse-textured soils ( $K_{ads} = 2.7-5.0$  for sandy loams) and relatively immobile in fine-textured soils ( $K_{ads} = 7.2-7.7$  for silt loams). Adsorption of linuron is probably related to the organic matter content with increased adsorption reported for soils with higher organic matter content ( $K_{ads,om} < 200$  for two soils with >4% OM). The

Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (163-1) studies are partially acceptable because information on the  $K_{ds}$  for the primary linuron degradates formed under anaerobic conditions (desmethoxy linuron, desmethoxy monolinuron, norlinuron) is not currently available. Adsorption coefficients ( $K_{ds}$ ) may be determined using batch equilibrium test methodology.

164-1: Terrestrial Field Dissipation - Two studies were reviewed (Eble, 1990a, 1990b; MRID# 41734201, 41734202) and provided partially acceptable or supplemental information on the field dissipation of linuron in California and Delaware. The Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-1) data requirement is not fulfilled because the patterns of formation and decline of total linuron residues could not be assessed; and field test procedures and analytical methodology were not completely described. The California study may be upgradeable if additional information on study methods and early soil sample results can be provided; however, the Delaware study can not be upgraded because the consistent presence of linuron in the control plot confounds accurate assessment of

the pattern of formation and decline of total linuron residues. A new study is needed to satisfy the data requirement.

166-1: Ground Water - Prospective - EFGWB recommends that prospective ground-water monitoring studies be conducted for linuron. In order to determine the potential of this chemical to leach to ground water, an adequate number of studies should be conducted to characterize linuron use. Study areas should include those with coarse-textured soils low in organic matter, and those more representative of typical linuron use areas.

The following data requirements are deferred or are not required for presently registered uses:

161-4: Photodegradation in Air - No studies were reviewed. The Photodegradation in Air data requirement was waived because the vapor pressure for linuron was reportedly  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24° C (2.0 mPa); therefore, volatilization and subsequent photodegradation in air are not considered probable routes of dissipation.

163-2: Volatility - Laboratory - No studies were reviewed. The Laboratory Volatility data requirement was waived because the vapor pressure for linuron was reportedly  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24° C (2.0 mPa); therefore, volatilization is not considered a probable route of dissipation.

163-3: Volatility - Field - No studies were reviewed. The Field Volatility data requirement was waived because the vapor pressure for linuron was reportedly  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mm Hg at 24° C (2.0 mPa); therefore, volatilization is not considered a probable route of dissipation.

165-1: Confined Rotational Crop - No studies were reviewed. The Confined Rotational Crop data requirement was transferred to RCB/HED (effective 2/22/93). Inquiries regarding this data requirements should be directed to RCB/HED.

165-2: Field Rotational Crop - No studies were reviewed. The Field Rotational Crop data requirement was transferred to RCB/HED (effective 2/22/93). Inquiries regarding this data requirements should be directed to RCB/HED.

201-1: Droplet Size Spectrum - No studies were reviewed. The registrant, Du Pont, is a participating member of the Spray Drift Task Force. Information regarding spray drift of linuron should be provided upon completion of the Spray Drift Task Force data base. This study may be required by EFGWB when toxicological considerations are indicated by either the Ecological Effects Branch and/or the Health Effects Division.

202-1: Drift Field Evaluation - No studies were reviewed. The registrant, Du Pont, is a participating member of the Spray Drift Task Force. Information regarding spray drift of linuron should be provided upon completion of the Spray Drift Task Force data base. This study may be required by EFGWB when toxicological considerations are indicated by either the Ecological Effects Branch and/or the Health Effects Division.

## REFERENCES

- Abernathy, J.R. 1972. Linuron, chlorbromuron, nitrofen, and fluorodifen adsorption and movement in twelve selected Illinois soils. Ph.D. Diss. Abstr. 73-9861, Urbana, IL. Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. (MRID# 05019500)
- Brown, A.M. 1986. Photodegradation of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron on soil. Laboratory Project ID AMR-644-86. Unpublished study prepared and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 40171711)
- Buchta, R.C. 1986. Photodegradation of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron in water. Laboratory Project ID AMR-616-86. Unpublished study prepared and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 40103601)
- Butler, L.D. 1985. Laboratory studies of phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}$  linuron bioconcentration in bluegill sunfish. Unpublished study received June 14, 1985 under 352-326. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (No MRID number; Accession #258300)
- Chrzanowski, R.L. 1984. Soil column adsorption studies with Lorox linuron weed killer. In Linuron environmental fate. Unpublished study received Nov. 13, 1984 under 352-326. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (Accession No. 255830)
- Eble, J.E. 1990a. Field soil dissipation of linuron herbicide. Du Pont Project ID AMR-1063-88. Unpublished study performed by Du Pont Stine Research Farm, Newark, DE; Du Pont Madera Field Station, Madera, CA; Enviro-Bio-Tech, Ltd., Bernville, PA; Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories, Inc., New Ulm, MN; and Morse Laboratories, Inc., Sacramento, CA. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 41734201)
- Eble, J.E. 1990b. Field soil dissipation of linuron herbicide in California soil. Du Pont Project ID AMR-1466-89. Unpublished study performed by Du Pont Madera Field Station, Madera, CA; Du Pont Experimental Station, Wilmington, DE; Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories, Inc., New Ulm, MN; and Morse Laboratories, Inc., Sacramento, CA. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 41734202)
- Grover, R. 1975. Adsorption and desorption of urea herbicides on soils. *Can. Jour. of Soil Sci.* 55(2):127-135. (MRID# 05016640)
- Hance, R.J. 1971. Complex formation as an adsorption mechanism for linuron and atrazine. *Weed Research* 11(2/3):106-110. (MRID# 05019711)
- Hoheisel, C., Karrie, J., Lees, S., Davies-Hilliard, L., Hannon, P., Bingham, R., Behl, E., Wells, D., and E. Waldman. 1992. Pesticides in Ground Water Database - A Compilation of Monitoring Studies: 1971-1991, EPA 734-12-92-001, September 1992.
- Monson, K.D. 1986. Anaerobic aquatic metabolism of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ] linuron. Laboratory

- Project ID AMR-622-86. Unpublished study prepared and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 40142501)
- Mostaghimi, S. 1992. Watershed/Water Quality Monitoring for Evaluating BMP Effectiveness. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Postle, J.K. and K.M. Brey. 1991. Results of the WDATCP Groundwater Monitoring for Pesticides, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Madison, WI.
- Priester, T.M. 1985. Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ] linuron. Laboratory Project ID AMR-311-85. Unpublished study performed and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 00148443; Accession No. 257620)
- Priester, T.M. 1988. Supplement #1: Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ] linuron. Laboratory Project ID AMR-311-85. Unpublished study performed and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 40559001)
- Priester, T.M. 1992. Supplement #2: Batch equilibrium (adsorption/desorption) and soil thin-layer chromatography studies with [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ] linuron. Laboratory Project ID AMR-311-85. Unpublished study performed and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 42264601)
- Schneiders, G.E. 1990. Aerobic soil metabolism of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron in Hanford sandy loam. Laboratory Project ID AMR-1348-88. Unpublished study performed and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 41625401)
- Sievers, D.M. and C.D. Fulhage. 1989a. Quality of Missouri's Agricultural Groundwater Region II Sampling, University of Missouri.
- Sievers, D.M. and C.D. Fulhage. 1989b. Quality of Rural Well Water, North Missouri, Special Report 402. University of Missouri at Columbia, September 1989.
- Sievers, D.M. and C.D. Fulhage. 1991. Quality of Missouri's Agricultural Groundwater Region II Sampling, Missouri Department of Natural Resources.
- Sievers, Dennis. 1994. Personal communication.
- Stevenson, I.E. 1988. Hydrolysis of [phenyl- $^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})$ ]linuron in water buffered at pH 5, pH 7, and pH 9. Laboratory Project ID AMR-1223-88. Unpublished study prepared and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 40916201)

Tomic, D.M. 1992. Freezer storage stability of linuron in soil. MVTL Project ID 02- 8906. Du Pont Project ID AMR-1677-90. Unpublished study performed by Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories, Inc., New Ulm, MN, and submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. (MRID# 42422801)

Wisconsin Dept. of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Services. 1989. Grade A Dairy Farm Well Water Quality Survey, Wisconsin Agricultural Statistics Service.

## APPENDIX: PARENT AND ITS DEGRADATES

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460



OFFICE OF PREVENTION,  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC  
SUBSTANCES  
PC Code: 035506  
DP Barcode: D275651  
Date: 10/14/01

MEMORANDUM: Drinking Water Assessment for Linuron on Carrots in  
California.

TO: Carol Christensen  
Reregistration actions Branch II  
Health Effects Division (7509C)

FROM: Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb/Agronomist  
Environmental Risk Branch II  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

PEER REVIEW: Jim Carleton/Chemist  
Environmental Risk Branch II  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

THRU : Tom Bailey, Branch Chief  
Environmental Risk Branch II  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

Conclusions:

The 3-(3-,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea (Linuron)  
use on carrots in Griffin Label (EPA Reg. No. 1812-320) is  
represented by this memorandum.

Linuron is a herbicide used to control germinating and newly  
emerging grasses and broad-leafed weeds. It is applied to  
agricultural crops, ornamental bulbs, and poplar trees for use in  
shelterbelts in the mid-west.

Formulations include water dispersable granules, wettable powders, flowable concentrates, and emulsifiable concentrates/liquid suspensions.

Linuron usually is applied after a crop has been planted but before weeds emerge, using ground or aerial equipment. In some crops, such as carrots and celery, linuron is applied to newly emerging plants as an over-top spray. In asparagus, linuron is applied between cuttings of newly emerging spears for weed control during harvest.

The Tier II screening models PRZM<sup>1</sup> and EXAMS<sup>2</sup> with the Index Reservoir and Percent Crop Area adjustment (IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS) were used to determine estimated surface water concentrations of linuron. The Screening Concentration in Groundwater (SCI-GROW<sup>3</sup>) model was used to estimate groundwater concentrations for linuron. Modeling results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Estimated environmental concentrations in surface and groundwater for linuron use on carrots.			
	model EECs ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	use(s) modeled	PCA
Surface water/ peak (90 <sup>th</sup> percentile annual daily max.)	31.3	two applications on carrots @ 1.0 lb ai/acre, ground application	Default PCA (0.87)
Surface water/ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile annual mean)	12.5		
Surface water/ 36-year overall mean	7.31		
Groundwater/peak and long term average	0.54		

The IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS modeling results indicate that linuron has the potential to contaminate surface waters by spray drift, and runoff in areas with large amounts of annual rainfall. Modeling results are higher than those from existing surface water monitoring data for linuron targeted to the pesticide use area.

The recommended groundwater drinking water EECs is 5.0 ppb



(from the USEPA Pesticide in Groundwater Database). The modeling result is lower than historical data from the USEPA (data > 10 years old). The maximum observed concentration was 5.0 ppb. Recent NAWQA data which includes drinking water wells show no concentration > 0.029 ppb. This recommendation is based on the fact that there are no obvious changes in the use pattern presented in the June 7, 2001 Linuron SMART meeting.

Usage map for linuron<sup>4</sup> is attached.

### Environmental Fate and Transport Assessment

Although the environmental fate data base for parent linuron is essentially complete, two environmental fate data requirements (leaching/adsorption/desorption and terrestrial field dissipation studies) are not fulfilled. The environmental fate assessment for linuron is incomplete and tentative because information on the persistence, mobility and dissipation pathways of several degradates of linuron is not available.

Parent linuron appears to be moderately persistent and relatively immobile. Increased mobility may occur under specific environmental conditions such as in coarse textured soils and soils with low levels of organic matter. Linuron dissipates principally by biotic processes such as microbial degradation. In surface soils with adequate organic matter, the combined processes of adsorption and microbial degradation would limit linuron's potential to migrate to ground water. Linuron could runoff to surface water bodies. In that case, it would degrade fairly rapidly to three primary metabolites (desmethoxy linuron, desmethyl linuron, norlinuron, and 3,4-DCA, none of each is >10% of the applied radioactivity in the aerobic soil metabolism study). However, information on the persistence and mobility of these degradates is not currently available.

Linuron exhibits some of the properties and characteristics of chemicals that have been detected in ground water, and linuron itself has been detected in ground water in four states (Georgia, Missouri, Virginia and Wisconsin). Linuron is moderately persistent with an aerobic soil metabolism half-life ranging from 57 to 100 days. Because linuron is sufficiently persistent and may be mobile under certain environmental conditions, it has the potential to impact ground water quality.

Linuron can be applied aerially or by ground spray and therefore could contaminate surface waters through spray drift. It

has the potential to be somewhat persistent in surface waters, particularly those with low microbiological activity and long hydrological residence times. Linuron degraded with a half-life of less than 3 weeks in nonsterile anaerobic silt loam and sand soil:water (1:1) systems. It may be less persistent in water and sediment under anaerobic conditions than under aerobic conditions. Its bioconcentration potential is relatively low.

Linuron is not currently regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act, and water supply systems are not required to sample and analyze for it. No Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) or drinking water health advisories have been established for linuron. The primary treatment processes employed by most water systems may not always be completely effective in removing linuron. As a result, the Agency does have some moderate concerns regarding potential risks of linuron to surface water source supply systems.

## Surface Water

### Monitoring

The EFED has limited monitoring data on the concentrations of linuron in surface water at the present time.

The USGS-National Water Quality Assessment Program, San Joaquin - Tulare Basins analyzed surface water samples from a fixed site on the San Joaquin River near Vernalis, CA. Grab water samples were collected biweekly for one year (1993). Maximum linuron concentration was 0.29 ppb<sup>5</sup>, even though the San Joaquin Valley is a major production region for carrots in California<sup>6</sup>.

In another study, the US Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA) collected 5196 surface water samples from 40 agricultural stream sites through the nation during the period from 1992-1998. One to two samples were collected at each site each month during periods when pesticide transport in the streams was expected to be low. At most sites, the sampling frequency was increased to 1 to 3 samples per week during periods when elevated levels of pesticides were expected in the streams. Linuron was detected in 2.70% of the samples (detection limit = 0.01 ppb) with a linuron maximum concentration of 1.4 ppb<sup>7</sup>.

The frequency of sampling and the length of sampling period of both of the USGS studies were not sufficient to represent the temporal and spatial requirements for use in making regulatory determinations concerning drinking water.

### Modeling

Tier II surface water modeling was done using the Index Reservoir (IR) and Percent Crop Area (PCA) modifications to PRZM and EXAMS.

The index reservoir represents a potentially vulnerable drinking water source based on the geometry of an actual reservoir and its watershed in a specific area (Illinois), using regional screening specific cropping patterns, weather, soils, and other factors.

The PCA is a generic watershed-based adjustment factor which represent the portion of a watershed planted to a crop or crops and will be applied to pesticide concentrations estimated for the surface water component of the drinking water exposure assessment using PRZM/EXAMS with the index reservoir scenario.

The IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS model use and fate input parameters for linuron in surface water are shown in Table 2. The IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS model input and output files for linuron are shown in Appendix I.

Table 2: IR-PC PRZM/EXAMS input parameters for linuron use on carrots in California.

Input variable	Input value & calculations	Source/Quality of data
Crop name	carrots	label EPA Reg. No. 1812-320).
application rate (lb ai/acre)	2	label EPA Reg. No. 1812-320).
Interval between appl. (d)	14	label EPA Reg. No. 1812-320).
Application efficiency	0.99	IR-PCA Guidance <sup>8</sup>
Spray drift fraction	0.064	IR-PCA Guidance
Application method	ground	label (EPA Reg. No. 1812-362).
DWRATE (day <sup>-1</sup> )	0.005	MRID#41625401; Input parameters guidance <sup>9</sup> ; single value X 3.
DSRATE (day <sup>-1</sup> )	0.005	MRID#41625401; Input parameters guidance; single value X 3
K <sub>d</sub> (mL/g)	2.7 (sandy loam)	MRID#00148443; Input parameters guidance. Soil-K <sub>d</sub> for best match of soil in model was used.
Henry (atm.m <sup>3</sup> /mole)	6.07X10 <sup>-8</sup> (calculated)	RED, 1994.
KBACW (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0003	No aerobic aquatic data is available, the aerobic soil met. degradation rate was multiplied by 0.5. MRID#41625401. Input parameters guidance.
KBACS (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0002	Anaerobic aquatic half-life (21 days) was multiplied by 3. MRID#40142501. Input parameters guidance.
KDP (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0006	MRID#40103601; Input parameters guidance.
KBH, K <sub>NH</sub> , K <sub>AH</sub> (h <sup>-1</sup> )	(stable)	MRID#40916201; Input parameters guidance.
KPS (mL/g)	2.7	MRID#00148443; Input parameters guidance.
MWT (g/mole)	249.1	RED, 1994.
Solubility @ 25 °C (ppm)	81	RED, 1994.
Vapor pressure (torr)	1.5X10 <sup>-5</sup>	The MERCK Index <sup>10</sup> .

### Assumptions and Uncertainties<sup>11,12</sup>

#### Index Reservoir

The results from the index reservoir represent potential drinking water exposure from a specific area (Illinois) with

specific cropping patterns, weather, soils, and other factors. Use of the index reservoir for areas with different climates, crops, pesticides used, sources of water (e.g. rivers instead of reservoirs, etc), and hydrogeology creates uncertainties. In general, because the index reservoir represents a fairly vulnerable watershed, the exposure estimated with the index reservoir will likely be higher than the actual exposure for most drinking water sources. However, the index reservoir is not a worst case scenario, communities that derive their drinking water from smaller bodies of water with minimal outflow, or with more runoff prone soils would likely get higher drinking water exposure than estimated using the index reservoir. Areas with a more humid climate that use a similar reservoir and cropping patterns may also get more pesticides in their drinking water than predicted using this scenario.

A single steady flow has been used to represent the flow through the reservoir. Discharge from the reservoir also removes chemical so this assumption will underestimate removal from the reservoir during wet periods and overestimates removal during dry periods. This assumption can underestimate or overestimate the concentration in the pond depending upon the annual precipitation pattern at the site.

The index reservoir scenario uses the characteristics of a single soil to represent the soil in the basin. In fact, soils can vary substantially across even small areas, and this variation is not reflected in these simulations.

The index reservoir scenario does not consider tile drainage. Areas that are prone to substantial runoff are often tile drained. Tile drainage contributes additional water and in some cases, additional pesticide loading to the reservoir. This may cause either an increase or decrease in the pesticide concentration in the reservoir. Tile drainage also causes the surface soil to dry out faster. This will reduce runoff of the pesticide into the reservoir. The watershed used as the model for the index reservoir (Shipman City Lake) does not have tile drainage in the cropped areas.

EXAMS is unable to easily model spring and fall turnover. Turnover occurs when the temperature drops in the fall and the thermal stratification of the reservoir is removed. Turnover occurs again in the spring when the reservoir warms up. This results in complete mixing of the chemical through the water column at these times. Because of this inability, the Index Reservoir has been simulated without stratification. There is data to suggest that Shipman City Lake, upon which the Index Reservoir is based, does indeed stratify in the deepest parts of the lake at least in

some years. This may result in over or underestimation of the concentration in drinking water depending upon the time of the year and the depth the drinking water intake is drawing from.

#### Percent Crop Area Correction Factor

The PCA is a watershed-based modification. Implicit in its application is the assumption that currently-used field-scale models reflect basin-scale processes consistently for all pesticides and uses. In other words, we assume that the field scale processes simulated by the coupled PRZM and EXAMS models are a reasonable approximation of pesticide fate and transport within a watershed that contains a drinking water reservoir. If the models fail to capture pertinent basin-scale fate and transport processes consistently for all pesticides and all uses, the application of a factor that reduces the estimated concentrations predicted by modeling could, in some instances, result in inadvertently passing a chemical through the screen that may actually pose a risk. Some preliminary assessments made in the development of the PCA suggest that PRZM/EXAMS may not be realistically capturing basin-scale processes for all pesticides or for all uses. A preliminary survey of water assessments which compared screening model estimates to readily available monitoring data suggest uneven model results. In some instances, the screening model estimates are more than an order of magnitude greater than the highest concentrations reported in available monitoring data; in other instances, the model estimates are less than monitoring concentrations. Because of these concerns, the SAP recommended using the PCA only for "major" crops in the Midwest. For other crops, development of PCA's will depend on the availability of relevant monitoring data that could be used to evaluate the result of the PCA adjustment.

The spatial data used for the PCA came from readily-available sources and have a number of inherent limitations:

- The size of the 8-digit HUC [mean = 366,989 ha; range = 6.7-2,282,081 ha; n = 2,111] may not provide reasonable estimates of actual PCA's for smaller watersheds. The watersheds that drain into drinking water reservoirs are generally smaller than the 8-digit HUC and may be better represented by watersheds defined for drinking water intakes.
- The conversion of the county level data to watershed-based percent crop areas assumes the distribution of the crops within a county is uniform and homogeneous throughout the county area. Distance between the treated fields and the water body is not addressed.
- The PCA's were generated using data from the 1992 Census of

Agriculture. However, recent changes in the agriculture sector from farm bill legislation may significantly impact the distribution of crops throughout the country. The methods described in this report can rapidly be updated as more current agricultural crops data are obtained. The assumption that yearly changes in cropping patterns will cause minimal impact needs to be evaluated.

The PCA adjustment is only applicable to pesticides applied to agricultural crops. Contributions to surface waters from non-agricultural uses such as urban environments are not well-modeled. Currently, non-agricultural uses are not included in the screening model assessments for drinking water.

The PCA does not consider percent crop treated because detailed pesticide usage data are extremely limited at this time. Detailed pesticide usage data are currently available for only a few states.

## Groundwater

### Monitoring

EFED has limited monitoring data on the concentrations of Linuron in groundwater. Table 3 shows validated monitoring data for linuron that are available for the states of Georgia (GA), Missouri (MO), Virginia (VA), and Wisconsin (WI).

Table 3. Groundwater monitoring data for linuron. Number of wells sampled (number of wells with residues) <sup>13</sup> .		
State	well results	range of conc. (ppb)
GA	70 (67)	1.0 - 5.0
Mo	269 (38)	0.2 - 1.9
VA	12 (5)	0.042 - 3.79
WI	26 (1)	3.00

In addition, the US Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA) analyzed pesticide occurrence

and concentrations in shallow ground water in agricultural areas (detection limit = 0.01 ppb). Analysis of 924 samples showed linuron in 0.11% of the samples analyzed with a maximum concentration of 0.029 ppb<sup>14</sup>.

A Major component of the sampling design in the NAWQA study was to target specific watersheds and shallow ground water areas that are influenced primarily by a single dominant land use (agricultural or urban) that is important in the particular area. The ground-water data were primarily collected from a combination of production and monitoring wells. Ground-water sites in the NAWQA study were sampled for pesticides once at each site.

Even though the groundwater monitoring data collected by USGS NAWQA are from sites considered to represent typical use areas, the frequency and duration of sampling were not sufficient to represent an adequate monitoring data set for exclusive use in drinking water exposure determination.

The SCI-GROW model was used to estimate potential groundwater concentrations of linuron.

Table 4 shows the input parameter values used in SCI-GROW modeling.

Table 4. Input parameters for linuron used in the SCI-GROW model.		
Input variable	Input value & calculations	Source/Quality of data 1
Application rate (lb ai/acre)	1.0	(EPA Reg. No. 1812-320).
Maximum No. of Applications	2	(EPA Reg. No. 1812-320).
K <sub>oc</sub> (mL/g)	208	MRID# 46007015 (median value); Input parameters guidance.
Aerobic Soil metabolism t <sub>1/2</sub> . (day)	49	MRID# 41625401; Input parameters guidance.

Groundwater EECs predicted using the SCI-GROW screening model are substantially less than those estimated for surface water using PRZM and EXAMS. SCI-GROW estimated concentrations of linuron are also much less than those from monitoring data shown in Table 3.



Therefore, for drinking water concentrations from groundwater sources we recommend 5.0 ppb to be used in the drinking water assessment.

## REFERENCES

4. Carsel, R.F., J.C. Imhoff, P.R. Hummel, J.M. Cheplick and J.S. Donigian, Jr. 1997. PRZM-3, A Model for Predicting Pesticide and Nitrogen Fate in Crop Root and Unsaturated Soil Zones: Users Manual for Release 3.0; Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA.
2. Burns, L.A. March 1997. Exposure Analysis Modeling System (EXAMSII) Users Guide for Version 2.97.5, Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA.
3. Barrett, M., 1997, Proposal For a Method to Determine Screening Concentration Estimates for Drinking Water Derived from Groundwater Studies, EFED/OPP.
4. U.S. GS. 1992. National Water Quality Assessment (NWQA), Pesticides National Synthesis Project, Annual Use: Linuron.
5. U.S. GS. 1993. National Water Quality Assessment Program San Joaquin - Tulare Basins Study Unit, [Online]. Available at [http://ca.water.usgs.gov/sanj\\_nawqa/data\\_sw/ifs.1993.herb2](http://ca.water.usgs.gov/sanj_nawqa/data_sw/ifs.1993.herb2).
6. The United State Department of Agriculture, Office of Pesticide Management Policy & Pesticide Impact Assessment Program. Crop Profile for Carrots in California, [Online]. Available at [http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/cropprofiles/Detail.CFM?FactSheets\\_RecordID=285](http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/cropprofiles/Detail.CFM?FactSheets_RecordID=285).
7. USGS. 1998. Pesticides in Surface and Ground Water of the United States: Summary of Results of the National Water Quality Assessment Program, [Online]. Available at [http://\(NAWQA\)=http://ca.water.usgs.gov/pnsp/allsum/#t1](http://(NAWQA)=http://ca.water.usgs.gov/pnsp/allsum/#t1).
8. Effland, W., N. Thurman, I. Kennedy, R.D. Jones, J. Breithaupt, J. Lin, J. Carleton, L. Libel, R. Parker, and R. Matzner. 2000. "Guidance for use of the index Reservoir and Percent Crop Area Factor in drinking water exposure assessments. Office of Pesticide Programs.

9. Guidance for Chemistry and Management Practice Input Parameters For Use in Modeling the Environmental Fate and Transport of Pesticides. Version 2. November 7, 2000. U.S. EPA Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Fate and Effects Division.
10. The Merck Index. 1989. An encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs, and biologicals. 11<sup>th</sup> ed. Rahway, N.J. p. 533.
11. Carsel, R.F., J.C. Imhoff, P.R. Hummel, J.M. Cheplick and J.S. Donigian, Jr. 1997. PRZM-3, A Model for Predicting Pesticide and Nitrogen Fate in Crop Root and Unsaturated Soil Zones: Users Manual for Release 3.0; Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA.
12. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1984. Chemical Information Fact Sheet Number 28: Linuron. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Washington, DC, 9-13.
13. U.S.EPA. 1992. Pesticides in Ground Water Database- A compilation of Monitoring Studies: 1971 - 1991. Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances, EPA 734-12-92-001.
14. U.S. GS. 1998. National Water Quality Assessment (NWQA), Pesticides National Synthesis Project [Online] at <http://ca.water.usgs.gov/pnsp/allsum/#over>.

# APPENDIX I

## IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS INPUT FILE FOR THE USE OF LINURON ON CARROTS IN CALIFORNIA

LINURON

Lerdo clay loam, MLRA C-17; Central Valley, CA, Carrots

0.700	0.500	0	17.00	1	1				
4									
0.21	1.00	1.000	172.8		3	1.00	600.00		
1									
1	0.20	60.00	80.00	3	91	85	88	0.00	100.00
1	3								

0101 21 9 2209

0.10 0.10 0.10

.023 .023 .023

36

100948	231248	311248	1
100949	231249	311249	1
100950	231250	311250	1
100951	231251	311251	1
100952	231252	311252	1
100953	231253	311253	1
100954	231254	311254	1
100955	231255	311255	1
100956	231256	311256	1
100957	231257	311257	1
100958	231258	311258	1
100959	231259	311259	1
100960	231260	311260	1
100961	231261	311261	1
100962	231262	311262	1
100963	231263	311263	1
100964	231264	311264	1
100965	231265	311265	1
100966	231266	311266	1
100967	231267	311267	1
100968	231268	311268	1
100969	231269	311269	1
100970	231270	311270	1
100971	231271	311271	1
100972	231272	311272	1
100973	231273	311273	1
100974	231274	311274	1
100975	231275	311275	1
100976	231276	311276	1
100977	231277	311277	1
100978	231278	311278	1
100979	231279	311279	1
100980	231280	311280	1
100981	231281	311281	1
100982	231282	311282	1
100983	231283	311283	1

2 non-incorporated applications of 2.0 lbs A.I./acre (2.24 Kg/Ha), spray drift  
0.99, APPEFF. 0.064

72 1 0

Linuron

\*\*\* Kd:2.7 AeSM: T1/2=49 days AnAQ Met: T1/2=21 days \*\*\*

101248	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241248	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101249	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241249	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101250	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241250	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101251	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241251	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101252	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241252	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101253	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241253	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101254	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241254	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101255	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241255	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101256	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241256	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101257	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241257	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101258	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241258	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101259	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241259	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101260	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241260	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101261	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241261	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101262	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241262	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101263	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241263	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101264	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241264	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101265	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241265	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101266	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241266	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101267	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241267	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101268	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241268	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101269	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241269	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101270	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241270	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101271	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241271	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101272	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241272	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101273	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241273	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101274	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
241274	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064
101275	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064

241275	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101276	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241276	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101277	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241277	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101278	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241278	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101279	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241279	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101280	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241280	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101281	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241281	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101282	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241282	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
101283	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
241283	0	2	0.00	2.24	0.99	0.064													
0.			1			0.0													
0.00			0.072			0.5													
Lerdo clay loam; Hydrologic Group C																			
100.00				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.0			0.000			0.00													
2																			
1			18.00			1.600													
			0.005			0.005													
			1.00			0.325													
2			82.00			1.500													
			0.005			0.005													
			1.0			0.249													
0																			
WATR			YEAR			10													
6																			
11			-----																
5			DAY																
RUNF			TSER			0													
EFLX			TSER			0													
ESLS			TSER			0													
RUNF			TSER			0													
PRCP			TSER			0													

# IR-PCA PRZM/EXAMS OUTPUT FILE FOR THE USE OF LINURON ON CARROTS IN CALIFORNIA

## WATER COLUMN DISSOLVED CONCENTRATION (PPB)

YEAR	PEAK	96 HOUR	21 DAY	60 DAY	90 DAY	YEARLY
----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1948	9.435	9.325	6.422	2.329	1.553	0.404
1949	9.955	9.840	8.497	7.644	7.053	3.680
1950	11.890	11.760	11.330	10.280	9.819	5.169
1951	10.600	10.480	9.986	9.396	8.974	4.647

1952	21.000	20.770	19.830	18.430	17.710	9.137
1953	12.020	11.890	11.640	10.740	9.992	5.283
1954	27.190	26.890	25.790	23.460	21.690	10.420
1955	15.580	15.410	14.710	13.740	12.790	6.510
1956	11.290	11.160	9.362	8.490	7.831	4.669
1957	12.600	12.460	11.880	10.920	10.360	5.678
1958	13.920	13.710	13.000	11.700	10.870	6.840
1959	10.270	10.160	9.697	8.797	8.148	4.086
1960	16.510	16.330	15.640	14.390	13.280	6.984
1961	11.670	11.550	11.020	9.910	9.124	4.476
1962	37.470	37.030	35.920	32.460	29.850	14.070
1963	28.360	28.030	26.690	24.540	22.870	11.350
1964	11.050	10.930	10.430	9.383	8.641	4.259
1965	24.260	20.610	10.820	10.240	9.405	5.963
1966	29.150	28.820	27.450	24.760	23.860	11.930
1967	17.300	17.110	16.320	14.610	13.830	7.722
1968	10.360	10.240	9.774	8.791	8.478	4.829
1969	12.320	12.180	11.610	10.550	10.350	5.865
1970	14.000	13.840	13.180	11.760	10.730	5.920
1971	10.990	10.870	10.400	9.372	8.630	5.431
1972	11.240	11.110	9.625	8.657	7.971	5.639
1973	25.240	24.960	23.810	21.460	20.490	10.300
1974	17.600	17.380	16.470	14.490	13.000	7.568
1975	15.110	14.940	14.230	12.690	11.890	6.320
1976	12.340	12.200	11.650	10.480	10.000	5.397
1977	22.180	21.930	11.240	9.721	9.147	6.433
1978	73.250	72.400	68.960	61.770	56.310	26.430
1979	16.730	16.550	15.790	14.530	13.770	6.910
1980	15.100	14.940	14.580	14.130	13.460	7.027
1981	13.080	12.930	12.390	11.380	11.000	6.157
1982	11.170	11.000	10.320	9.676	9.229	5.630
1983	36.360	35.960	34.270	31.170	28.770	13.920

SORTED FOR PLOTTING

-----

PROB	PEAK	96 HOUR	21 DAY	60 DAY	90 DAY	YEARLY
----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
0.027	73.250	72.400	68.960	61.770	56.310	26.430
0.054	37.470	37.030	35.920	32.460	29.850	14.070
0.081	36.360	35.960	34.270	31.170	28.770	13.920
0.108	29.150	28.820	27.450	24.760	23.860	11.930
0.135	28.360	28.030	26.690	24.540	22.870	11.350
0.162	27.190	26.890	25.790	23.460	21.690	10.420
0.189	25.240	24.960	23.810	21.460	20.490	10.300
0.216	24.260	21.930	19.830	18.430	17.710	9.137
0.243	22.180	20.770	16.470	14.610	13.830	7.722

0.270	21.000	20.610	16.320	14.530	13.770	7.568
0.297	17.600	17.380	15.790	14.490	13.460	7.027
0.324	17.300	17.110	15.640	14.390	13.280	6.984
0.351	16.730	16.550	14.710	14.130	13.000	6.910
0.378	16.510	16.330	14.580	13.740	12.790	6.840
0.405	15.580	15.410	14.230	12.690	11.890	6.510
0.432	15.110	14.940	13.180	11.760	11.000	6.433
0.459	15.100	14.940	13.000	11.700	10.870	6.320
0.486	14.000	13.840	12.390	11.380	10.730	6.157
0.514	13.920	13.710	11.880	10.920	10.360	5.963
0.541	13.080	12.930	11.650	10.740	10.350	5.920
0.568	12.600	12.460	11.640	10.550	10.000	5.865
0.595	12.340	12.200	11.610	10.480	9.992	5.678
0.622	12.320	12.180	11.330	10.280	9.819	5.639
0.649	12.020	11.890	11.240	10.240	9.405	5.630
0.676	11.890	11.760	11.020	9.910	9.229	5.431
0.703	11.670	11.550	10.820	9.721	9.147	5.397
0.730	11.290	11.160	10.430	9.676	9.124	5.283
0.757	11.240	11.110	10.400	9.396	8.974	5.169
0.784	11.170	11.000	10.320	9.383	8.641	4.829
0.811	11.050	10.930	9.986	9.372	8.630	4.669
0.838	10.990	10.870	9.774	8.797	8.478	4.647
0.865	10.600	10.480	9.697	8.791	8.148	4.476
0.892	10.360	10.240	9.625	8.657	7.971	4.259
0.919	10.270	10.160	9.362	8.490	7.831	4.086
0.946	9.955	9.840	8.497	7.644	7.053	3.680
0.973	9.435	9.325	6.422	2.329	1.553	0.404
1/10	31.313	30.962	29.496	26.683	25.333	12.527

MEAN OF ANNUAL VALUES = 7.307

STANDARD DEVIATION OF ANNUAL VALUES = 4.336

UPPER 90% CONFIDENCE LIMIT ON MEAN = 8.377

### SCI-GROW output file

RUN No. 1 FOR linuron

INPUT VALUES

APPL (#/AC)	APPL. URATE	SOIL	SOIL AEROBIC
RATE	NO. (#/AC/YR)	KOC	METABOLISM (DAYS)

1.000            2            2.000    208.0            49.0

GROUND-WATER SCREENING CONCENTRATIONS IN PPB

-----

.544

-----

A=    44.000   B=    207.000   C=    1.643   D=    2.316   RILP=  
2.768  
F=    -.553   G=    .280   URATE=    2.000   GWSC=  
.560119



### Avian and mammalian species

Avian and mammalian species may be exposed to linuron through multiple routes, including dietary and dermal. The criterion for the presumption of high risk from exposure for acute avian and mammalian species is a value greater than or equal to 0.5 for the quotient of the estimated environmental concentration (EEC) divided by the lowest LC value for birds and mammals--this is known as the risk quotient (RQ).

50

$$\text{Acute RQ} = \text{EEC}/\text{LC50} \geq 0.5 \text{ for birds and mammals}$$

Calculation of estimated environmental residues are based on the work by Hoerger and Kenaga (1972).

### **Avian Acute/Subacute Risk**

High Risk LOCs are not exceeded at any application rate for a single application. Restricted Use Level of Concern (LOC) are exceeded on short grass at the 3 and 4 lbs ai./A rates. Endangered species LOC are exceeded for all the rates evaluated. Residues on insects would not exceed LOCs (see Table 1).

**Table 1. Avian Acute Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance for the maximum application rates of linuron by use site. EEC for short grass = application rate (lb ai./A) x 240 ppm/lb ai. EEC for insects = application rate x 58 ppm/lb ai. Lowest avian LC50 = 3083 ppm (mallard duck) Risk Quotient = EEC/LC50.**

Use Site	Application Rate	Substrate (EEC)	Risk Quotient (EEC/LC50)	LOC
Carrots, celery, sweet corn, cottonseed, parsley, parsnips, sorghum; ornamental herbaceous plants	1.5 lb ai	Short Grass (360)	0.12	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
		Insects (87)	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Field corn	1.54 lb ai	short grass (370)	0.12	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
		Insects (89)	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Winter wheat (drill planted)	1.75 lb ai	short grass (420)	0.14	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
		Insects (101.5)	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$

UseSite	ApplicationRate	Substrate (EEC)	RiskQuotient (EEC/LC50)	LOC
Potatoes;poplar( forest/ shelterbelt)	2.0lbsai	shortgrass (480)	0.16	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
		Insects (116)	0.04	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
Soybeans;non-ag. ROW/fencerows/ hedgerows/uncultiv. areas/soils	3.0lbsai	shortgrass (720)	0.23	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
		Insects (174)	0.06	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
Asparagus	4.0lbsai	shortgrass (960)	0.31	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
		Insects (232)	0.08	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1

RU=RestrictedUseES=EndangeredSpecies

### AvianChronicandReproductiveRisk

TheavianreproductionNOELisconsidered100ppm,withtheeffects seenat300ppm.Bothoftheselevelsarebelowthoseresiduelevelsthat couldoccuronshortgrasswithinthetreatedareaateventhelowestofthe maximumapplicationratesbycrop,fromasingleapplication.Giventhis, aswellasthepersistenceofflinurondescribedbytheAgency,itappears thatchronicavianriskispresentforallusesites.

**Table2.AvianChronicRiskQuotientandLOCexceedanceforthemaximumapplicationratesofflinuronbyuse site.(NOEL=100ppm).TableusessameEECsasTable1.RiskQuotient=EEC/NOEL.**

UseSite	ApplicationRate	Substrate (EEC)	RiskQuotient (EEC/NOEL)	LOC
Carrots,celery,sweet corn,cottonseed,parsley, parsnips,sorghum; ornamentalherbaceous plants	1.5lbsai	ShortGrass (360)	3.60	ChronicRisk* $\geq$ 1
		Insects (87)	0.87	ChronicRisk* $\geq$ 1
Fieldcorn	1.54lbsai	shortgrass (370)	3.70	ChronicRisk* $\geq$ 1
		Insects (89)	0.89	ChronicRisk* $\geq$ 1

UseSite	ApplicationRate	Substrate (EEC)	RiskQuotient (EEC/NOEL)	LOC
Winterwheat(drill planted)	1.75lbsai	shortgrass (420)	4.20	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
		Insects (101.5)	1.02	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
Potatoes;poplar(forest/ shelterbelt)	2.0lbsai	shortgrass (480)	4.80	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
		Insects (116)	1.16	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
Soybeans;non-ag. ROW/fencerows/ hedgerows/uncultiv. areas/soils	3.0lbsai	shortgrass (720)	7.20	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
		Insects (174)	1.74	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
Asparagus	4.0lbsai	shortgrass (960)	9.60	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
		Insects (232)	2.32	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$

\*"Chronicrisk,endangeredbirdsmaybeaffected,restricteduserecommended"

In addition to risk from direct application, there can be risk to birds feeding in areas adjacent to treated fields, due to drift, particularly with aerial application. The current Agency estimate is 5%. This added risk, based on this assumption, does not by itself exceed the LOC (see Table 3).

**Table 3. Avian Chronic Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance--off-site exposure with soybeans. Off-site drift estimate=5% of EEC (from Table 1).**

UseSite	ApplicationRate	Substrate	RiskQuotient (EEC/NOEL)	LOC
Soybeans	3.0lbsai	shortgrass(36)	0.36	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$
		Insects(8.7)	0.087	ChronicRisk* $\geq 1$

\*"Chronicrisk,endangeredbirdsmaybeaffected,restricteduserecommended"

### Risk to Mammals

Tables 4 and 5 show LD50s/sq.ft. for the use sites, for two small mammals. LD50s/sq.ft. will vary with the weight of the animal, since LD50s are expressed in mg/kg body weight (i.e., for a given LD50, a smaller animal will require less toxicant to receive a lethal dose). For linuron, all LOCs are exceeded for the small, carnivorous least shrew whereas none are for the much heavier, omnivorous rat.

**Table 4. Mammalian Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance for the maximum application rates of linuron by use site. (lowest LD50=2100mg/kg; mammal body weight=0.005kg, least shrew). Mgai/sq.ft=lb ai/A x 10.4 (conversion factor). Risk Quotient=LD50/sq.ft.=mgai/sq.ft./LD50 x animal weight).**

Use Site	Application Rate	mgai/sq.ft.	Risk Quotient LD50/sq.ft.	LOC
Carrots, celery, sweet corn, cottonseed, parsley, parsnips, sorghum; ornamental herbaceous plants	1.5 lbs ai	15.6	1.49	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Field corn	1.54 lbs ai	16.0	1.52	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Winter wheat (drill planted)	1.75 lbs ai	18.2	1.7	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Potatoes; poplar (forest/shelter belt)	2.0 lbs ai	20.8	2.0	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Soybeans; non-ag. ROW/fencerows/hedge rows/uncultiv. areas/soils	3.0 lbs ai	31.2	3.0	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Asparagus	4.0 lbs ai	41.6	4.0	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$

RU=Restricted Use ES=Endangered Species

**Table 5. Mammalian Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance for the maximum application rates of linuron by use site. (lowest LD50=2100mg/kg; mammal body weight=0.3kg, rat).**

Use Site	Application Rate	mgai/sq.ft.	Risk Quotient LD50/sq.ft.	LOC
Carrots, celery, sweet corn, cottonseed, parsley, parsnips, sorghum; ornamental herbaceous plants	1.5 lbs ai	15.6	0.02	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Field corn	1.54 lbs ai	16.0	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Winter wheat (drill planted)	1.75 lbs ai	18.2	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$
Potatoes; poplar (forest/shelter belt)	2.0 lbs ai	20.8	0.03	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.2$ ES $\geq 0.1$

UseSite	ApplicationRate	mgai/sq.ft.	RiskQuotient LD50/sq.ft.	LOC
Soybeans:non-ag. ROW/fencecrows/ hedgerows/uncultiv. areas/soils	3.0lbsai	31.2	0.05	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1
Asparagus	4.0lbsai	41.6	0.07	HighRisk $\geq$ 0.5 RU $\geq$ 0.2 ES $\geq$ 0.1

RU=RestrictedUseES=EndangeredSpecies

### MammalianChronicRisk

The lowest NOEL dietary concentration reported in submitted data is 25 ppm, seen in a 1-year dog feeding study and in a 3-generation reproduction study in rats. Oncogenic effects were reported in both mice and rat studies. For mice, "hepatocellular adenomas were significantly increased in the high dose group [1500 ppm] and reached borderline significance in the low dose group [50 ppm]". For rats, "testicular interstitial cell adenomas increased in 125 and 625 ppm males" (submitted data). Given the persistence of linuron in the field and the effects seen in the lab at concentrations well below those expected after initial application, it appears that chronic effects in wild mammals are likely.

### (2) AquaticRisk

#### Aquatic-AcuteRisk

Acute risk to aquatic organisms has been estimated by comparing EECs to the lowest available linuron technical LC<sub>50</sub> or EC<sub>50</sub> for fish and aquatic invertebrates. EECs used were derived from two models, one involving runoff to a 6' waterbody (A) and the second involving runoff to a 6" waterbody or wetland (B). The latter is to be used for linuron only for the ROW use. Table 6 shows that fish restricted use LOCs are exceeded under model B (ROWs). Fish endangered species LOCs are exceeded under model B (ROWs) and also under model A for the 4 lbs ai/A rate.

Table 7 shows that the aquatic invertebrate high risk LOC is exceeded with model B (ROWs). Aquatic invertebrate restricted use and endangered species LOCs are exceeded for all sites with both models.

Direct application to aquatic habitat could also potentially occur with a ROW use. Direct application to 6" of water would result in 2202 ppb at a 3 lbs ai/A rate. This would produce a risk quotient of 2,474 for fish and 18,350 for aquatic invertebrates, vastly exceeding all LOCs.

**Table 6. Fish Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance for the maximum application rates of linuron by use site. (lowest LC50=0.89ppm). EEC for model A (runoff to 6' pond) = [application rate (lb ai/A) x % runoff x 10 acre drainage basin] x 61 ppb/lb ai, where % runoff = 2% (based on linuron water solubility of 81 ppm). EEC for model B (runoff to 6" wetland) = [application rate (lb ai/A) x % runoff x 10 acre drainage basin] x 734 ppb/lb ai, with 2% runoff. Risk Quotient = EEC/EC50 where fish LC50 = 0.89 ppm (sheepshead minnow).**

Use Site	Application Rate	RQ (EEC/EC50) (model)	LOC
Carrots, celery, sweet corn, cottonseed, parsley, parsnips, sorghum; ornamental herbaceous plants	1.5 lb ai	0.021(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1$ ES $\geq 0.05$
Field corn	1.54 lb ai	0.021(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1$ ES $\geq 0.05$
Winter wheat (drill planted)	1.75 lb ai	0.024(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1$ ES $\geq 0.05$
Potatoes; poplar (forest/ shelter belt)	2.0 lb ai	0.027(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1$ ES $\geq 0.05$
Soybeans; non-ag. ROW/fencerows/ hedgerows/uncultiv. areas/ soils	3.0 lb ai	0.041(A) 0.49(B)(ROW)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1(B)$ ES $\geq 0.05(B)$
Asparagus	4.0 lb ai	0.055(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1$ ES $\geq 0.05(A)$

RU = Restricted Use ES = Endangered Species

1. model: A = runoff to 6' pond; B = runoff to 6" wetland

**Table 7. Aquatic Invertebrate Risk Quotient and LOC exceedance for the maximum application rates of linuron by use site. (lowest EC50=0.12ppm). EEC for model A (runoff to 6' pond) = [application rate (lb ai/A) x % runoff x 10 acre drainage basin] x 61 ppb/lb ai, where % runoff = 2% (based on linuron water solubility of 81 ppm). EEC for model B (runoff to 6" wetland) = [application rate (lb ai/A) x % runoff x 10 acre drainage basin] x 734 ppb/lb ai, with 2% runoff. Risk Quotient = EEC/LC50 where lowest aquatic invertebrate = 0.12 ppm (*D. Magna*).**

Use Site	Application Rate	RQ (EEC/EC50) (model)	LOC
Carrots, celery, sweet corn, cottonseed, parsley, parsnips, sorghum; ornamental herbaceous plants	1.5 lb ai	0.15(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1(A)$ ES $\geq 0.05(A)$
Field corn	1.54 lb ai	0.157(A)	High Risk $\geq 0.5$ RU $\geq 0.1(A)$ ES $\geq 0.05(A)$

UseSite	ApplicationRate	RQ (EEC/EC50) (model <sup>1</sup> )	LOC
Winterwheat(drill planted)	1.75lbsai	0.178(A)	HighRisk ≥ 0.5 RU ≥ 0.1(A) ES ≥ 0.05(A)
Potatoes;poplar( forest/ shelterbelt)	2.0lbsai	0.203(A)	HighRisk ≥ 0.5 RU ≥ 0.1(A) ES ≥ 0.05(A)
Soybeans;non-ag. ROW/fencrows/ hedgerows/uncultiv. areas/soils	3.0lbsai	0.305(A) 3.67(B) (ROW)	HighRisk ≥ 0.5(B) RU ≥ 0.1(A,B) ES ≥ 0.05(A,B)
Asparagus	4.0lbsai	0.4(A)	HighRisk ≥ 0.5 RU ≥ 0.1(A,B) ES ≥ 0.05(A,B)

RU=RestrictedUseES=EndangeredSpecies

1..model:A=runoffto6'pond;B=runoffto6"wetland

### Aquatic-ChronicRisk

Chronic aquatic effects cannot be fully assessed at this time. Effects on fish length were seen at the lowest concentration (0.042 ppm) with rainbow trout in a nearly life stage test. The "rough-cut" EECs used for the above tables under model A exceed this effect level at the 4 lbs ai / A rate and under model B at the 3 lbs ai rate (ROWs). Since the NOEL for this study was some untested level below 0.042 ppm, there would likely be further exceedances of the NOEL and thus the chronic LOC (EEC/NOEL > 1).

Although the above comparisons were derived from "preliminary qualitative" EECs, available environmental fate information from EFED (see above) indicates potential persistence in water. There is little or no effect of hydrolysis or photolysis (both half-lives greater than 30 days). Microbial degradation is described by EFED; the anaerobic aquatic half-life is reported as less than 21 days. Three degradates of unknown toxicity have been identified by EFED. Thus, the toxicity of the combined degradates plus remaining parent linuron is also not known.

The chronic effect level for *D. magna* is reportedly 2x the LC<sub>50</sub> seen in a previous acute study, a major inconsistency. Also, invertebrates were more sensitive than fish in acute tests, but appear considerably less sensitive in the chronic test. Further testing with the acute would be necessary to resolve this problem.

### **(3) Plants**

Valid data on the toxicity of flinuron to nontarget plants is available for only one of five aquatic plants, and not available at all for the ten required terrestrial species. Exposure of nontarget terrestrial and aquatic plants to linuron is expected primarily due to runoff from ground applications (all uses sites) and from runoff and drift for aerial applications (certain soybean product labels).

No terrestrial plant risk assessment can be done due to the lack of adequate data.

Only a preliminary aquatic plant risk assessment can be done since adequate data are available for just one of five species. High risk and endangered plant LOCs are exceeded for aquatic plants if the EEC/EC<sub>50</sub> ≥ 1. Based on the EECs previously calculated to evaluate risk to aquatic animals, and the one available EC<sub>50</sub> (0.067 ppm), these LOCs are exceeded under the runoff to wetland model (6") for ROWs, but not the runoff to 6' pond model for all other uses.

### **(4) Endangered Species**

As described in the above risk assessment sections, endangered species LOCs are exceeded in some instances for acute effects to birds, wild mammals, aquatic organisms and nontarget plants. Endangered species LOCs are exceeded for chronic effects to birds, wild mammals, and aquatic organisms.

The Endangered Species Protection Program is expected to become final in 1995. Limitations on the use of flinuron will be required to protect endangered and threatened species, but these limitations have not yet been defined (and may be formulation specific). OPP anticipates that consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service will be conducted in accordance with the species-based priority approach described in the Program. After completion of consultation, registrants will be informed if any required label modifications are necessary. Such modifications would most likely consist of the generic label statement referring pesticide users to use limitations contained in county bulletins.

## **IV. RISK MANAGEMENT AND REREGRISTRATION DECISION**

### **A. Determination of Eligibility**

Section 4(g)(2)(A) of FIFRA calls for the Agency to determine, after submission of relevant data concerning an active ingredient, whether products containing the active ingredient are eligible for reregistration. The Agency has previously identified and required the submission of the generic (i.e. active ingredient specific) data required to support reregistration of products



containing linuron active ingredient. The Agency has completed its review of these generic data, and has determined that based on the information currently available, there is data to support the reregistration of all products containing linuron, with the exception of use on cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn. Appendix B identifies the generic data requirements that the Agency reviewed as part of its determination of reregistration eligibility of linuron, and lists the submitted studies that the Agency found acceptable.

The data identified in Appendix B were sufficient to allow the Agency to assess the registered uses of linuron and to determine that except for the cotton, potato, non-cropland, and sweet corn uses, linuron can be used without resulting in unreasonable adverse effects to humans and the environment. To ensure that the potential risks of linuron are not unreasonable, the Agency is requiring the registrant to implement certain risk mitigation measures. Provided that these measures are implemented, as discussed below, the Agency therefore finds that all products containing linuron as the sole active ingredient with the exception of cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn, are eligible for reregistration. The reregistration of particular products is addressed in Section V of this document.

The Agency made its reregistration eligibility determination based upon the target database required for reregistration, the current guidelines for conducting acceptable studies to generate such data, and the data identified in Appendix B. The Agency has found that all uses of linuron, except for the cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn uses, are eligible for reregistration. **At this time, the Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision on the use of linuron on potatoes because under current policies tolerances under Section 409 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) are needed for this use, but such a tolerance may be barred by the Delaney clause in Section 409. Refer to the discussion under "Tolerance Reassessment."**

As a risk reduction measure for linuron, DuPont has agreed to voluntarily cancel the Hybrid poplar and non-cropland (rights-of-way) uses. In addition, DuPont has already voluntarily cancelled the cotton use of linuron. However, data remain outstanding for the cotton, rights-of-way, and sweet corn uses. Registrants must either amend their labels deleting these uses or submit the required data. Therefore, the Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision for the use of linuron on cotton, rights-of-way, and sweet corn.

It should be understood that the Agency may take appropriate regulatory action, and/or require the submission of additional data to support the registration of products containing linuron, if new information comes to the Agency's attention or if the data requirements for registration (or the guidelines for generating such data) change.

## **1. Eligibility Decision**

Based on the review of the generic data for the active ingredient linuron, the Agency has sufficient information on the health effects of linuron and on its potential for causing adverse effects in fish and wildlife and the environment. Although levels of concern are exceeded for ecological effects and groundwater quality, the Agency concludes that most of the uses of products containing linuron, with the exception of cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn, amended to reflect the risk mitigation measures imposed in this RED are eligible for reregistration.

The Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision for the use of linuron on cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn until additional generic data are submitted. The Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision on the use of linuron on potatoes because under current policies tolerances under Section 409 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) are needed for this use, but such a tolerance may be barred by the Delaney clause in Section 409.

The Agency has determined that eligible linuron products, labeled and used as specified in this Reregistration Eligibility Decision, will not pose unreasonable risks or adverse effects to humans or the environment.

## **2. Eligible and Ineligible Uses**

The Agency has determined that all uses of linuron, with the exception of cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn, are eligible for reregistration.

## **B. Regulatory Position**

The following is a summary of the regulatory positions and rationales for linuron. Where labeling revisions are imposed, specific language is set forth in Section V of this document.

### **1. Tolerance Reassessment**

#### Tolerances Listed Under 40 CFR § 180.184(a)

The tolerances listed under 40 CFR § 180.184(a) for residues of linuron in/on plant and animal commodities are expressed in terms of residues of linuron *per se*. The tolerance expression under 40 CFR § 180.184(a) should be revised as follows: "Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the herbicide linuron (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea) and its metabolites convertible to 3,4-dichloroaniline, calculated as linuron, in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:". A summary of the reassessment of tolerances listed in 40 CFR § 180.184(a) is presented in Table D.

Sufficient data are available to support the established tolerances for the following crops: carrots; corn, field, grain; corn, field, forage and fodder; celery; cottonseed; parsnips; potatoes; sorghum, grain; soybeans; and wheat, grain and straw.

Additional residue data are required if all registered uses of linuron are to be covered under established tolerances for: asparagus; corn, sweet (K+CWHR); corn, sweet, forage; sorghum forage and fodder; soybeans, forage and hay; and wheat forage. In addition, aspirated grain fraction data remain outstanding for field corn.

A processing study remains outstanding for cottonseed, if registrants other than DuPont decide to support use on cotton. The tolerance for cottonseed must be revoked, if no registrant is supporting the cotton use.

Food additive tolerance proposals are required for "potatoes, granules" at 0.8 ppm and "potatoes, chips" at 0.6 ppm, and a feed additive tolerance proposal is required for "potatoes, waste from processing" at 1.0 ppm.

However, under the Delaney clause of the FFDCA, a food/feed additive regulation for a processed food may not be established for a pesticide which induces cancer in man or animals. Linuron may meet this criterion (see discussion in Section III.B.1.c. of this document). The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled that EPA must interpret this provision strictly. EPA is in the process of revoking food additive tolerances that violate the Delaney clause.

Under current EPA policy, if a food/feed additive tolerance cannot be established due to the Delaney clause, EPA will neither establish nor continue in effect a tolerance for the associated raw agricultural commodity.

At this time, the Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision on potatoes because EPA is currently evaluating legal challenges to its policies related to the coordination of actions under Section 409's Delaney clause and FFDCA Section 408 and FIFRA. But in the event that the Agency will allow the use of linuron on potatoes, additional data to upgrade the existing potato processing study will be required.

The established tolerances for corn, grain (inc. pop); corn, pop, forage; corn, pop, fodder; barley, oats, and dry forage, grain, hay, and straw will be revoked since there are no registered uses of linuron on these commodities. In addition, the established tolerances for corn, sweet, fodder; parsnips, tops; and wheat, hay will be revoked since these commodities are not listed as raw agricultural commodities of sweet corn, parsnips, and wheat, respectively.

Tolerances have been proposed for lettuce at 0.1 ppm (PP#1E02486), and ginger and taro at 1 ppm (PP#3E2920). Tolerance revisions have been proposed for potatoes at 0.2 ppm; the meat, fat, and meat-by-product (except kidney and liver) of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep at 0.1 ppm; and the liver and kidney of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep at 1.0 ppm (PP#0F3832).

A6(a)(2) data submission indicates linuron residues in or on corn fodder will need to be raised to cover residues up to 5.5 ppm in corn fodder. The current tolerance is 1 ppm.

#### Tolerances Listed Under 40 CFR § 180.184(b)

The tolerance listed under 40 CFR § 180.184(b) is with regional restriction and is expressed in terms of residues of linuron *per se*. The tolerance expression under 40 CFR § 180.184(b) should be revised as follows: "Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the herbicide linuron (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea) and its metabolites convertible to 3,4-dichloroaniline, calculated as linuron, in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:". A summary of the reassessment of tolerances listed in 40 CFR § 180.184(b) is presented in Table D.

Sufficient data are available to support the established tolerance for parsley.

Table D. Tolerance Reassessment Summary.

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Tolerance Reassessment (ppm)	Comment/Correct Commodity Definition
Tolerances listed under 40 CFR 180.184(a):			
Asparagus	3	Reserved	Data are still needed for the FIC. The current tolerance is inadequate. Based on available data, the tolerance will need to be raised to 7 ppm.
Barley, forage	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Barley, grain	0.25	Revoke	No registered uses.
Barley, hay	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses; not regulated as a RAC.
Barley, straw	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Carrots	1	1	A 14-day PHI is required.
Cattle, fat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Cattle, mby	1	1	Cattle, kidney Cattle, liver
		0.1	Cattle, mby (exc. liver and kidney)/Proposed tolerance revision 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Cattle, meat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Celery	0.5	0.5	The available data support use west of the Rocky Mountains, all labels must reflect this restriction.
Corn, field, fodder	1	Increase to 6	6(a)(2) data have been submitted by DuPont indicating a higher tolerance 6 ppm in/on fodder is required.
Corn, field, forage	1	1	
Corn, fresh (inc. sweet K+CWHR)	0.25	Reserved	Corn, sweet (K+CWHR) Additional data are required.
Corn, grain (inc. pop)	0.25	0.1	Corn, field, grain  Popcorn grain tolerance should be deleted since there are no registered uses.
Corn, pop, fodder	1	Revoke	No registered uses.
Corn, pop, forage	1	Revoke	
Corn, sweet, fodder	1	Revoke	Not regulated as a RAC.
Corn, sweet, forage	1	Reserved	Additional data are required.

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Tolerance Reassessment (ppm)	Comment/Correct Commodity Definition
Cottonseed	0.25	Revoke	<i>Cotton, seed</i> Use is not supported by DuPont. If other registrants support use, a processing study is required. Otherwise, use should be cancelled and tolerance revoked.
Goats, fat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm.
Goats, mbyp	1	1  0.1	<i>Goats, kidney</i> <i>Goats, liver</i>  <i>Goats, mbyp (exc. liver and kidney)</i> / Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Goats, meat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Hogs, fat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Hogs, mbyp	1	1  0.1	<i>Hogs, kidney</i> <i>Hogs, liver</i>  <i>Hogs, mbyp (exc. liver and kidney)</i> / Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Hogs, meat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Horses, fat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Horses, mbyp	1	1  0.1	<i>Horses, kidney</i> <i>Horses, liver</i>  <i>Horses, mbyp (exc. liver and kidney)</i> / Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Horses, meat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Oats, forage	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Oats, grain	0.25	Revoke	No registered uses.
Oats, hay	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses; not regulated as a RAC.
Oats, straw	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Parsnips (with or without tops)	0.5	0.5	<i>Parsnips, roots</i>
Parsnips, tops	0.5	Revoke	Not regulated as a RAC.

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Tolerance Reassessment (ppm)	Comment/Correct Commodity Definition
Potatoes	1	0.2 <sup>*1</sup>	Proposed revision to the established tolerance. <sup>*</sup> -All registrants must submit revised labels prohibiting use west of the Rocky Mountains.
Rye, forage	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Rye, grain	0.25	Revoke	No registered uses.
Rye, hay	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses; not regulated as a RAC.
Rye, straw	0.5	Revoke	No registered uses.
Sheep, fat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Sheep, mby	1	1	Sheep, kidney Sheep, liver
		0.1	Sheep, mby (exc. liver and kidney) / Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Sheep, meat	1	0.1	Proposed tolerance revision to 0.1 ppm. PP#0F3832
Sorghum, fodder	1	Reserved	
Sorghum, forage	1	Reserved	
Sorghum, grain (milo)	0.25	0.2	Sorghum, grain
Soybeans, (dry or succulent)	1	1	Soybeans
Soybeans, forage	1	Reserved	Feeding restrictions prohibited per June 1994 document. Additional data required.
Soybeans, hay	1	Reserved	Feeding restrictions prohibited per June 94 document. Additional data required.
Wheat, forage	0.5	Reserved	Additional data required.
Wheat, grain	0.25	0.1	
Wheat, hay	0.5	Revoke	Not regulated as a RAC.
Wheat, straw	0.5	2.0	PP#4F4293
<b>Tolerances listed under 40 CFR 180.184(b):</b>			
Lettuce	--	0.1	Proposed tolerance. PP#1E02486
Ginger	--	1	Proposed tolerance. PP#3E2920
Parsley	0.25	0.25	
Taro	--	1	Proposed tolerance. PP#3E2920
<b>Tolerances to be proposed under 40 CFR 185 and 186</b>			
Potatoes, chips	--	0.6	Proposed tolerance.
Potatoes, granules	--	0.8	Proposed tolerance.
Potatoes, waste from processing	--	10	Proposed tolerance.

<sup>1</sup> Delaney issues may prevent the establishment of these tolerances.

## CODEX HARMONIZATION

No Codex MRLs have been established for linuron; therefore, issues of compatibility between Codex MRLs and U.S. tolerances do not exist.

### **2. Restricted Use Classification**

Linuron meets the proposed triggers for candidacy as a restricted use chemical for groundwater concerns. The Agency will consider linuron as a candidate for classification as a restricted use chemical after the groundwater restricted use rule is finalized.

### **3. Risk Mitigation**

The Agency has determined that the current uses of linuron exceed levels of concern for many uses. Several risk mitigation measures proposed by the technical registrant, DuPont, and accepted by the Agency are being required. These risk mitigation measures include reducing application rates, cancellation of high application rate uses, prohibiting use in certain vulnerable soil types, prohibiting aerial uses, adding groundwater and surface water label advisories. These risk mitigation measures are required for all linuron registrants.

The technical registrant, DuPont, is reducing the application rates of linuron on soybeans to 1.0 lb ai/A, corn field to 0.75 lb ai/A, potato to 1.5 lb ai/A, and asparagus to 2.0 lb ai/A. DuPont is also limiting the use of linuron on soybeans, field corn, potato to 1 application per year (pre-emergent use only) and limiting the use of linuron on asparagus to 3 applications per year. Reduction of the application rates for soybeans and asparagus will also improve the MOEs for handlers.

DuPont has also agreed to prohibit the aerial uses of linuron, prohibit the use of linuron on sand or loam sand, and on soil of <1% organic matter. Furthermore, DuPont has agreed to voluntarily cancel the high application rate uses including Hybrid poplar and Non-cropland uses (Rights-of-way).

**Groundwater Concerns:** Due to groundwater quality concerns, the following mitigation steps are required:

- Linuron has been detected in groundwater. Therefore **all product labels must carry a groundwater advisory.** The label language for this advisory can be found in Section V. of this document.

#### **Surface Water Concerns:**

Linuron can be applied by ground spray and therefore could contaminate surface waters by spray drift. The available data on the major degradates of linuron are insufficient to assess their runoff potential or persistence in surface water. Linuron is not currently regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Therefore, no MCL has been established for it and water supply systems are not required to sample and analyze for it. In addition, no drinking water health advisories have been established for linuron. However,

based upon the Reference Dose, the Agency has (for screening purposes only) a low lifetime health advisory for linuron of 6.0 ug/L. Although the available data suggests that the average annual linuron concentration will generally be well below 6 ug/L, the available data do not necessarily include those from watersheds that drain high linuron use areas. In addition, the relatively low to intermediate soil to water partitioning of linuron indicates that the primary treatment processes employed by most water supply systems to remove suspended sediment may not always be completely effective in removing linuron. Consequently, the Agency does have some moderate concerns for potential risks of linuron to surface water sources supply systems.

**Spray Drift Advisory:** The potential for spray drift exists because linuron can also be applied by ground spray. However, a spray drift labeling statement will not be imposed until spray drift data is submitted and reviewed by the Agency.

#### **4. Endangered Species Statement**

The Agency has concerns about the exposure of threatened and endangered plant and animal species to linuron. Based on the conclusions discussed in the preceding sections of this risk assessment, endangered species LOCs are exceeded in some instances for acute effects to birds, wild mammals, aquatic organisms, and nontarget plants. Endangered species LOCs are also exceeded for chronic effects to birds, wild mammals, and aquatic organisms.

Currently, the Agency is developing a program ("The Endangered Species Protection Program") to identify all pesticides whose use may cause adverse impacts on endangered and threatened species and to implement mitigation measures that will eliminate the adverse impacts. The program would require use restrictions to protect endangered and threatened species in the county. Consultations with the Fish and Wildlife Service may be necessary to assess risks to newly listed species or from proposed new uses. In the future, the Agency plans to publish in the Federal Register a description of the program and have available enforceable county-specific bulletins. Because the Agency is taking this approach for protecting endangered and threatened species, it is not imposing label modifications at this time through the RED. Rather, any requirements for product use modifications will occur in the future under the Endangered Species Protection Program.

#### **5. Labeling Rationale**

##### **Worker Protection Standard**

Any product whose labeling reasonably permits use in the production of an agricultural plant on any farm, forest, nursery, or greenhouse must comply with the labeling requirements of PR Notice 93-7, "Labeling Revisions Required by the Worker Protection Standard (WPS), and PR Notice 93-11, "Supplemental Guidance for PR Notice 93-7, which reflect the requirements of EPA's labeling regulations for worker protection statements (40 CFR part 156, subpart K). These labeling revisions are necessary to implement the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (40 CFR part 170) and must be completed in accordance with, and within the deadlines specified in, PR Notices 93-7 and 93-11. Unless otherwise specifically



directed in this RED, all statements required by PR Notices 93-7 and 93-11 are to be on the product label exactly as instructed in those notices.

After April 21, 1994, except as otherwise provided in PR Notices 93-7 and 93-11, all products within the scope of those notices must bear WPS PR Notice complying labeling when they are distributed or sold by the primary registrant or any supplementally registered distributor.

After October 23, 1995, except as otherwise provided in PR Notices 93-7 and 93-11, all products within the scope of those notices must bear WPS PR Notice complying labeling when they are distributed or sold by any person.

### **Uses within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard**

The 1992 Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS) established certain worker-protection requirements (personal protective equipment, restricted entry intervals, etc.) to be specified on the label of all products that contain uses within the scope of the WPS. Uses within the scope of the WPS include all commercial (non-homeowner) and research uses on farms, forests, nurseries, and greenhouses to produce agricultural plants (including food, feed, and fiber plants, trees, turf grass, flowers, shrubs, ornamentals, and seedlings). Uses within scope include not only uses on plants, but also uses on the soil or planting medium the plants are (or will be) grown in.

Some of the registered uses of linuron are within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS) and some uses are outside the scope of the WPS. Those that are outside the scope of the WPS include use:

- on plants that are in ornamental gardens, parks, golf courses, and public or private lawns and grounds and that are intended only for decorative or environmental benefit.
- in a manner not directly related to the production of agricultural plants, including, for example, control of vegetation along rights-of-way and shelterbelts.

### **Entry Restrictions**

#### *Entry Restrictions for Occupational-Use Products (WPS Uses)*

Some registered uses of linuron are within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS) and some are outside the scope of the WPS.

*Restricted Entry Interval* -- Under the Worker Protection Standard (WPS), interim restricted entry intervals (REI) for all uses within the scope of the WPS are based on the acute toxicity of the active ingredient. The toxicity categories of the

active ingredient for acute dermal toxicity, eye irritation potential, and skin irritation potential are used to determine the interim WPS REI. If one or more of the three acute toxicity effects are in toxicity category I, the interim WPS REI is established at 48 hours. If none of the acute toxicity effects are in category I, but one or more of the three is classified as category II, the interim WPS REI is established at 24 hours. If none of the three acute toxicity effects are in category I or II, the interim WPS REI is established at 12 hours. A 48-hour REI is increased to 72 hours when an organophosphate pesticide is applied outdoors in arid areas. In addition, the WPS specifically retains two types of REI's established by the Agency prior to the promulgation of the WPS: (1) product-specific REI's established on the basis of adequate data, and (2) interim REI's that are longer than those that would be established under the WPS.

For occupational end-use products containing linuron as an active ingredient, the Agency is establishing a **24-hour restricted-entry interval** for each use of the product that is within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS). The basis of the 24-hour REI is a post-application risk assessment using asparagus reentry data and the toxicological endpoint for developmental toxicity.

The WPS places very specific restrictions on entry during restricted-entry intervals when that entry involves contact with treated surfaces. The Agency believes that these existing WPS protections are sufficient to mitigate post-application exposures of workers who contact surfaces treated with linuron.

#### Entry Restrictions for Occupational-Use Products (Non WPS Uses)

Some registered uses of linuron are outside the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS). The Agency is establishing the following entry restrictions for all non WPS occupational uses of linuron end-use products:

For liquid applications:

"Do not enter or allow others to enter the treated area until spray has dried."

#### **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Requirements**

##### PPE for Handlers (Mixer/Loader/Applicators)

For each end-use product, PPE requirements for pesticide handlers will be set during reregistration in one of two ways:

1. If EPA has no special concerns about the acute or other adverse effects of an active ingredient, the PPE for pesticide handlers will be based on the acute toxicity of the end-use product. For occupational-use products, PPE will be established using the process described in PR Notice 93-7 or more recent EPA guidelines.

2. If EPA has special concerns about an active ingredient due to very high acute toxicity or to certain other adverse effects, such as allergic effects or delayed effects (cancer, developmental toxicity, reproductive effects, etc.):
- In the RED for that active ingredient, EPA may establish minimum or "baseline" handler PPE requirements that pertain to all or most occupational end-use products containing that active ingredient.
  - These minimum PPE requirements must be compared with the PPE that would be designated on the basis of the acute toxicity of each end-use product.
  - The most restrictive choice for each type of PPE (i.e., bodywear, hand protection, foot wear, eyewear, etc.) must be placed on the label of the end-use product.

There are special toxicological concerns about linuron that warrant the establishment of active-ingredient-based PPE requirements for handlers. The MOE's were calculated as being acceptable only when (1) a closed system is used for mixing and loading to support aerial application and (2) specified personal protective equipment is worn by other mixers and loaders.

#### Handler PPE for Occupational-Use Products

Some of the registered uses of linuron are within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS) and some are outside the scope of the WPS. However, the minimum (baseline) PPE requirements for both the WPS and non-WPS uses are the same, since the potential exposure to handlers is similar for WPS and non-WPS uses.

The minimum (baseline) PPE for mixers and loaders supporting ground equipment applications for all WPS and non-WPS uses of linuron end-use products is: coveralls or long-sleeved shirt and long pants, chemical-resistant footwear, chemical-resistant gloves, and chemical-resistant apron.

No minimum (baseline) PPE for applicators and other handlers (other than mixers and loaders) is being established by the Agency through this RED.

#### Early-Entry PPE

The WPS establishes very specific restrictions on entry by workers to areas that remain under a restricted-entry interval if the entry involves contact with treated surfaces. Among those restrictions are a prohibition of routine entry to perform hand labor tasks and requirement that personal protective equipment be worn. Personal protective equipment requirements for persons whom must enter areas that remain under a restricted-entry interval are based on the toxicity concerns about the active ingredient. The requirements are set in one of two ways.

1. If EPA has special concerns about the acute or other adverse effects of an active ingredient, it establishes the early-entry PPE requirements based on the acute dermal toxicity, skin irritation potential, and eye irritation potential of the active ingredient.
2. If EPA has special concerns about an active ingredient due to very high acute toxicity or to certain other adverse effects, such as allergic effects, cancer, developmental toxicity, or reproductive effects, it may establish early-entry PPE requirements that are more stringent than would be established otherwise.

Since linuron is classified as category III for eye irritation potential, skin irritation potential, and acute dermal toxicity, the PPE required for early entry is: coveralls, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes, and socks. EPA believes that the potential adverse effects of linuron will be mitigated with this attire, provided the entry limitations established by the WPS are complied with.

## V. ACTIONS REQUIRED BY REGISTRANTS

This section specifies the data requirements and responses necessary for the reregistration of both manufacturing-use and end-use products.

### A. Manufacturing-Use Products

#### 1. Additional Generic Data Requirements

In summary, all uses of linuron are eligible for reregistration, with the exception of cotton, potato, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn. The Agency is unable to make a reregistration eligibility decision for the use of linuron on cotton, non-cropland (rights-of-way), and sweet corn until additional data are submitted and evaluated. **Also, a reregistration eligibility decision will not be made on the potato use of linuron until a decision on EPA's Coordination Policy has been made.** Furthermore, the Agency is requiring that additional confirmatory data be submitted to fulfill the generic data requirements for reregistration of linuron.

Starting Materials and Manufacturing Process

Foliar Dislodgeable Residues (Carrots/Celery)

Soil Dislodgeable Residues (Carrots/Celery)

Dermal Exposure (Carrots/Celery)

Inhalation Exposure (Carrots/Celery)

Cropfield Trials - Asparagus; Corn Aspirated Fractions, Sorghum, Forage and Hay; and Wheat, Forage

Cropfield Trials - Soybeans Forage and Hay - required due to change in Agency policy on grazing restrictions

Acute Avian Dietary Toxicity w/ TGAI-Quail and Duck

Acute Aquatic Invertebrate Toxicity

Fish Early Life Stage - both Rainbow Trout and Sheepshead Minnow

Aquatic Invertebrate Life Cycle-Mysid shrimp  
Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption  
Terrestrial Field Dissipation

In order to support the use of linuron on cotton and sweet corn, the following residue data are required:

Cotton seed processing study  
Crop field trials-sweet corn

In order to support the use of linuron on and non-cropland (rights-of-way) uses, the following data are required:

Acute Marine/Estuarine (TEP)-Sheepshead Minnow using DF Formulation for  
Rights-of-Ways

**Certain data are not part of the target database for linuron, but are also required:**

Seed germination/seedling emergence-10 species  
Vegetative vigor-10 species  
Aquatic plant growth-4 additional species

## **2. Labeling Requirements for Manufacturing Use Products**

To remain in compliance with FIFRA, manufacturing use product (MP) labeling must be revised to comply with all current EPA regulations, PR Notices and applicable policies. The MP labeling must bear the following statement under Directions for Use:

"Only for formulation into a herbicide for the following uses(s): \_\_\_\_\_ (fill blank only with those uses that are being supported by MP registrant)."

An MP registrant may, at his/her discretion, add one of the following statements to an MP label under "Directions for Use" to permit the reformulation of the product for a specific use or all additional uses supported by a formulator or user group:

- (a) "This product may be used to formulate products for specific use(s) not listed on the MP label if the formulator, user group, or grower has complied with U.S. EPA submission requirements regarding the support of such uses(s)."
- (b) "This product may be used to formulate products for any additional use(s) not listed on the MP label if the formulator, user group, or grower has complied with U.S. EPA submission requirements regarding the support of such uses(s)."

## **B. End-Use Products**

### **1. Additional Product-Specific Data Requirements**

Section 4(g)(2)(B) of FIFRA calls for the Agency to obtain any needed product-specific data regarding the pesticide after a determination of eligibility has been made. The product-specific data requirements are listed in Appendix G, the Product Specific Data Call-In Notice.

Registrants must review previous data submission to ensure that they meet current EPA acceptance criteria (Appendix F; Attachment E) and if not, commit to conduct new studies. If a registrant believes that previously submitted data meet current testing standards, then study MRID numbers should be cited according to the instructions in the Requirement Status and Registrants Response Form provided for each product.

### **2. Labeling Requirements for End-Use Products**

#### **a. Worker Protection**

##### **(1) Entry Restrictions; Labeling**

###### *Entry Restrictions for Occupational-Use Products (WPS Uses)*

**In order to be in compliance with FIFRA, a 24 hour restricted entry interval (REI) is required** for all uses within the scope of the Worker Protection Standard. This REI must be inserted into the standardized REI statements specified in the WPS as explained by the EPA guidance in PR Notice 93-7. The personal protective equipment for early entry must be the PPE required for handlers of linuron (see Section 2 below). This PPE must be inserted into the standardized REI statement specified by the WPS as explained in the EPA guidance in PR Notice 93-7.

**In order to be in compliance with FIFRA, labels of sole active ingredient end-use products that contain linuron must be revised to adopt the entry restrictions set forth in this section.** Any conflicting entry restrictions on their current labeling must be removed.

**In order to be in compliance with FIFRA, labels of multiple-active-ingredient end-use products that contain linuron must bear the more protective of either the entry restrictions set forth in this section or the entry restrictions on the current labeling.**

###### *Entry Restrictions for Occupational-Use Products (Non WPS Uses)*

Some registered uses of linuron are outside the scope of the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides (WPS). The Agency is establishing the following entry restrictions for all non WPS occupational uses of linuron end-use products:

For liquid applications:  
"Do not enter or allow others to enter the treated area  
until spray has dried."

## (2) Personal Protective Equipment Requirements; Labeling

*Handler PPE for Occupational Use Products:* For all uses of linuron, (includes uses both within the scope of WPS and non-WPS uses) the minimum (baseline) PPE requirements for pesticide handlers on all linuron end-use products are:

- coveralls over long-sleeved shirt and long pants
- chemical-resistant footwear
- chemical-resistant gloves
- chemical-resistant apron

No minimum (baseline) PPE for applicators and other handlers (other than mixers and loaders) is being established by the Agency through this RED.

*Early Entry PPE:* Since linuron is classified as category III for eye irritation potential, skin irritation potential, and acute dermal toxicity, the PPE required for early entry is: **coveralls, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes, and socks.** EPA believes that the potential adverse effects of linuron will be mitigated with this attire, provided the entry limitations established by the WPS are complied with.

Products containing linuron may contain more stringent PPE, but in no case may they require less stringent PPE than the above requirements.

Producers of end-use products that contain linuron must compare the PPE requirements set forth in this section to the PPE requirements, if any, on current labeling and retain the more protective one. For guidance in choosing which requirement is more protective, see supplement 3 of PR Notice 93-7.

### b. Other Labeling Requirements

The Agency is requiring the following labeling statements to be located on all end-use products containing linuron that are intended primarily for occupational use:

- (1) The label of all linuron end-use products must be revised to bear the following under the **Environmental Hazard Section** :

#### Ground Water Advisory

"This chemical is known to leach through soil into groundwater under certain conditions as a result of agricultural use. Use of this chemical in areas where soils are permeable, particularly where the water table is shallow, may result in ground-water contamination."

### Surface Water Advisory

"Linuron may contaminate surface water through spray drift or, under certain conditions, from surface runoff into adjacent surface water bodies (pond, lakes, streams, etc.) For several weeks post-application, linuron has a high potential to runoff when applied to fields with any of the following conditions: sloping land draining into nearby surface waters; very poorly to somewhat poorly drained soils; areas with extremely shallow groundwater; frequently flooded areas; fields with surface water canals or ditches; and highly erodible land cultivated with poor management practices."

### For terrestrial uses except rights-of-way

"This pesticide is toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Do not apply to water or to areas where surface water is present, or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from treated areas. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment wash water or rinsate."

### For rights-of-way

If a registrant chooses to support the rights-of-way use, he must submit the data required in this RED document associated with the rights-of-way use of linuron and his labels must also bear the following labeling statement:

"This pesticide is toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment wash waters or rinsate."

However, if a registrant does not support the rights-of-way use, the registrant must amend his product label by deleting the rights-of-way use in accordance with the procedures in PR Notice 91-1.

- (2) The label of all linuron end-use products must be revised to bear the following application restrictions under the **Directions for Use** Section :

#### **Application Restrictions:**

"Do not apply this product in a way that will contact workers or other persons, either directly or through drift. Only protected handlers may be in the area during application."

"Aerial application is prohibited."

"Use on sand or loam sand is prohibited."

"Use on soil of <1% organic matter is prohibited."



- (3) The label of all linuron end-use products must be revised to bear the following application rates under the **Crop Uses Section** for the respective crops:

Application Rates

**For linuron use on soybeans:**

A maximum application rate of 1.0 lb ai/A, with use limited to single application (pre-emergent use only) per year.

**For linuron use on corn, field:**

A maximum application rate of 0.75 lb ai/A, with use limited to single application (pre-emergent use only) per year.

**For linuron use on potatoes:**

A maximum application rate of 1.5 lb ai/A, with use limited to single application (pre-emergent use only) per year.

**For linuron use on asparagus:**

A maximum application rate of 2.0 lb ai/A per year, with use limited to 3 applications per year.

Do not exceed 2.0 lb total per acre per year.

The labels and labeling of all products must comply with EPA's current regulations and requirements as specified in 40 CFR § 156.10 and other applicable notices.

**C. Existing Stocks**

Registrants may generally distribute and sell products bearing old labels/labeling for 26 months from the date of the issuance of this Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED). Persons other than the registrant may generally distribute or sell such products for 50 months from the date of the issuance of this RED. However, existing stocks time frames will be established case-by-case, depending on the number of products involved, the number of label changes, and other factors. Refer to "Existing Stocks of Pesticide Products; Statement of Policy"; Federal Register, Volume 56, No. 123, June 26, 1991.

The Agency has determined that registrants may distribute and sell products bearing old labels/labeling, i.e., labels absent the modifications specified in this RED document, except as noted below, for 26 months from the date of issuance of this RED. Persons other than the registrant may distribute or sell such products for 50 months from the date of the issuance of this RED. Registrants and persons other than registrants remain obligated to meet pre-existing Agency imposed label changes and existing stocks requirements applicable to products they sell or distribute.

## **VI.APPENDICES**

## **APPENDIX A. Table of Use Patterns Subject to Reregistration**

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s) Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [ (AIMin. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment -Rate (AIun-Rate (AITex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &EfficacylessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise) /A] (days) IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly) otherwise) otherwise) Dosecycle/crop/year {day(s) }  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

Note: All DuPont labels, except for package mixes with chlorimuron for soybeans only, and certain other manufacturers' labels, carry the following limitations not captured in codes; other labels lack one or both limitations:

- Do not use on any crop in Kern County, California, except asparagus and carrots when a permit has been obtained from the Agriculture Commission.
- In the Columbia River Basin, use Linuron only if the crop is sprinkler irrigated.

the

ASPARAGUS Use Group: TERRESTRIAL FOOD CROP

Broadcast., Postemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

DFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CAC40, C46, C87, CCA

DFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

DFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C87, CCA  
OR, WA

DFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C92, CCA  
OR, WA

FICNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CAC40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

FICNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C93, CAG, CCA  
OR, WA

FICNA11bA\*4NS41bSNSNSCA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, CAD, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

FICNA11bA\*NSNSNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

WFNA11bA\*4NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, CCA  
OR, WA

Broadcast., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA21bA\*1NS21bSNS1C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

DFNA21bA\*1NS21bSNS1CAC40, C46, C87, CCA

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 -Time11:03APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical035506 [Linuron] LUIS2.0

-Page

78

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [ (AIMin. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment -Rate (AIun- Rate (AITex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &Effic- lessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise /A] (days) IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year (day (s))  
cycle

USESEI,IGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

ASPARAGUS (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP (con't)

DFNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

DFNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C92, CCA  
OR, WA

DFNA21ba\*1NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C87, CCA  
OR, WA

FLCNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CAC40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

FLCNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

FLCNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C93, CAG, CCA  
OR, WA

FLCNA21ba\*1NS21bSNSNSCA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, CAD, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

WPNA21ba\*1NS21bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, CCA  
OR, WA

Directedspray., Postemergence., BoomDFNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
sprayer.H01 (1)

DFNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1CAC40, C46, C87, CCA

DFNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

DFNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C87, CCA  
OR, WA

DFNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C92, CCA  
OR, WA

FLCNA41ba\*1NS41bSNS1CAC40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(A)Min. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment -Rate (A)Un-Rate (A)Tex. #Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &EfficacylessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise /A) (days) IntervCodes  
cyinfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly) otherwise) otherwise) Dosecycle/crop/year [day (s)]  
cycle

USESELEGIBLEFORREREISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

ASPARAGUS (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP (con't)

FLCNA41ba\*1NS41bNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, C93, CAG, CCA  
OR, WA

FLCNA41ba\*1NS41bNSNSNSCA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, CAD, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

FLCNA41ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

WPNA41ba\*1NS41bNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, CCA  
OR, WA

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer. FLCNA11ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C40, C46, C92, CCA,  
OR, WAH01 (1)

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA21ba\*1NS21bNSNS1CAC40, C46, C87, CCA

FLCNA21ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1CAC40, C46, C92, CCA,  
H01 (1)

WPNA21ba\*1NS21bNSNS1CA, MI, MN, NC, C46, CCA  
OR, WA

CARROT (INCLUDINGTOPS) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C40, C46, C87,  
H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C46, C92, H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C87, H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*2NS21bNSNS1C40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS0.5C40, C87

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(AIMin. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment -Rate (AIUn-Rate (AITex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &EfficacylessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise) /A] (days) IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly) otherwise) otherwise) Dosecycle/crop/year [day(s)]  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CARROT (INCLUDINGTOPS) (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP (con't)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C46, C93, CAG,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNSNC40, C46, CAD,

H01 (14) ReportRun  
WPNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C46

Spray., Postplant., Boomsprayer. FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS0.5C40, C87

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C87, H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C92, H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, WIC40, C46, C87,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*2NS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, W1013C40, C46, C92

Geo. 013:

InFloridaapply2pintsofproductperacre; inMichigan, Ohio, andWisconsin, apply3pintsof  
productperacre.

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS0.5C40, C87

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C93, CAG

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNSFL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, CAD,  
H01 (14)

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(A)Min. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment -Rate (A)un-Rate (A)Tex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &EfficacylessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise) /A] (days) IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly) otherwise) otherwise) Dosecycle/crop/year [day(s)]  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CARROT (INCLUDINGTOPS) (con't)UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOODCROP (con't)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C46, C93, CAG,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNSNSC40, C46, CAD,

H01 (14) ReportRun

WPNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1C46

Spray., Postplant., Boomsprayer. FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS0.5C40, C87

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C87, H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C92, H01 (14)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, WIC40, C46, C87,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*2NS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WI013C40, C46, C92

Geo.013:

InFloridaapply2pintsofproductperacre; inMichigan, Ohio, andWisconsin, apply3pintsof  
productperacre.

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS0.5C40, C87

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, C92,  
H01 (14)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46, C93, CAG

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNSNSFL, MI, OH, WIC40, C46, CAD,  
H01 (14)



SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s) Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(AI Min. Restr. Geographic Limitations Use  
Timing, Application Equipment -Rate (AI un-Rate (AI Tex. @Max. Rate unless noted Interv Entry Allowed Disallowed Limitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobial only) & Efficacy less noted unless noted Max. /crop/year otherwise) /A] (days) Interv Codes  
cy Influencing Factor (Antimicrobial only) otherwise) otherwise) Dose cycle/crop/year [day(s)]  
cycle

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CARROT (INCLUDING TOPS) (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP (con't)

WFNA1.51ba\*NSNS21bNSNS1FL, MI, OH, WIC46

Spray., Preemergence., Ground. DFNA11ba\*NS1/1yrNS11bNS1CAC46, H01 (14)

CELERY UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Spray., Posttransplant., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1013C40, C46, C92  
Geo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains only. In the Northeast, use only on celery  
grown on muck soils.

DFNA1.51ba\*1NSNSNSNS1013C46, C92  
Geo.013: In the Northeast, use the product on celery grown only on muck soils. Apply only  
East of the Rocky Mountains.

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C40, C46, C87  
Geo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains only. In the Northeast, use only on celery  
grown on muck soils.

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46, C87  
Geo.013: See above

FICNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS0.5013C40, C87

In the Northeast, use only on celery grown on muck soils.

FICNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1013C40, C46, C92  
Geo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains only. In the Northeast, use only on celery  
grown on muck soils.

FICNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1013013C40, C46, C92

grown on muck soils.

Geo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains only. In the Northeast, use only on celery

FICNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNSNS013C40, C46, CAD  
Geo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains only. In the Northeast, use only on celery  
grown on muck soils.

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment -Rate(A)Un-Rate(A)Tex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year{day(s)}  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CELERY (con't)UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOODCROP(con't)

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46,C93,CAG  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.InNortheast,useonlyoncelerygrownon  
mucksoils.

WPNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountains.IntheNortheast,useonlyoncelerygrownon  
mucksoils.

CORN, FIELDUseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Bandtreatment.,Postemergence.,BoomWPNA.5241ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
sprayer..5241baF  
.4001bAM  
.1541bAC

Bandtreatment.,Postemergence.,WPNA.5241ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
Tractor-mountedsprayer..5241baF  
.4001bAM  
.1541bAC

Bandtreatment.,Preemergence.,BoomWPNA.5241ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
sprayer..5241baF  
.4001bAM  
.1541bAC

Bandtreatment.,Preemergence.,WPNA.5241ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
Tractor-mountedsprayer..5241baF  
.4001bAM  
.1541bAC

Directedspray.,Postemergence.,BoomDFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1C40,C46,C92  
sprayer.

DFNA1.51ba\*1NSNSNSNS1013C46,C92  
Geo.013:Foruse'EastoftheRockyMountainonly.'

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment -Rate(A)un-Rate(A)ITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A] (days) IntervCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year{day(s)}

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1C40,C46,C87

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1C46,C87

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS0.5C40,C87

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS1C40,C46,C92

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNSNSC40,C46,CAD

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1C46,C93,CAG

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1C40,C46,C92

WPNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1C46

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer. WPNA1.541ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
1.541bAF  
1.2321bAM  
.4931bAC

Spray., Postemergence., Tractor-mounted WPNA\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40,C46,CAD  
sprayer.

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS1013C40,C46,C92  
1.51bAFGeo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.  
1.251bAM  
11bAC

DFNA1.51ba\*INSNSNSNSNS1013C46,C92  
1.51bAFGeo.013: For use 'East of the Rocky Mountain only.'  
1.251bAM  
11bAC

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose ((AI Min. Restr. Geographic Limitations Use  
Timing, Application Equipment -Rate (AI un-Rate (AI Tex. @Max. Rate unless noted Interv Entry Allowed Disallowed Limitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobial only) & Efficacy less noted unless noted Max. /crop/year otherwise) /A] (days) Interv Codes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobial only) otherwise) otherwise) Dose cycle/crop/year [day(s)]  
cycle

## USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

## FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DFNA\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C40, C46, C87

Geo. 013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.

DFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46, C87

1.51baFGeo. 013: See above

1.251baM

11baC

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS0.5013C40, C87

1.51baFGeo. 013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.

1.251baM

11baC

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS1013C40, C46, C92

1.51baFGeo. 013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.

1.251baM

11baC

FLCNA1.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNSNS013C40, C46, CAD

1.51baFGeo. 013: See above

1.251baM

11baC

FLCNA\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46, C93, CAG

Geo. 013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.

FLCNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013013C40, C46, C92

1.51baFGeo. 013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountains.

1.251baM

11baC

WPNA1.541ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40, C46, CAD

1.541baF

1.2321baM

.4931baC

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s) Min. Appl. Max. Appl. Soil Max. #Apps Max. Dose { (AI Min. Restr. Geographic Limitations Use  
Timing, Application Equipment -Rate (AI un-Rate (AI Tex. @Max. Rate unless noted Interv Entry Allowed Disallowed Limitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobial only) & Efficacy unless noted unless noted Max. /crop/year otherwise) /A] (days) Interv Codes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobial only) otherwise) otherwise) Dose cycle/crop/year {day(s)}  
cycle

USESELEGIBLEFORRERREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

WFNA1.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1013C46

1.51baFGeo.013: Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only.

1.251baM

11baC

Spray., Preemergence., Tractor-mounted WFNA1.541ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS1C40, C46, CAD

sprayer.1.541baF

1.2321baM

.4931baC

PARSLEY UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Broadcast., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNAUC\*NS1/1yrNSUCNS1TXC46

PARSNIP UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1C40, C46, C92

DFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1C46, C92

Spray., Postplant., Boomsprayer. FLCNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS0.5C40, C87

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer. DFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1C40, C46, C92

DFNA1.51ba\*1NS1.51bNSNS1C46, C92

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 -Time11:00APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, [Linuron]Chemical1035506[Linuron]U1S2.0

-Page

8

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose{(AIMin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(Alun-Rate(Altex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)IntexCodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DFNA .51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM40,C46,C87

DFNA .51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C87

FLCNA .51ba\*INS1 .51bNSNS0,C87

FLCNA .51ba\*INS1 .51bNSNSM40,C46,C92

FLCNA .51ba\*INS1 .51bNSNSNS0,C46,CAD

FLCNA .51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C93,CAG

FLCNA .51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM40,C46,C92

WPNA .51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM6

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer WPNA .541ba\*NS1/1yINS.771bNSM40,C46,CAD  
1.541baF  
1.2321baM  
.4931baC

Spray., Postemergence., Tractor-mounted WPNA NS1/1yINS.771bNSM40,C46,CAD  
sprayer.

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer DFNA .51ba\*INS1 .51bNSNS101G40,C46,C92  
1.51baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.  
1.251baM  
11baC

DFNA .51ba\*INSNSNSNS101G46,C92  
1.51baFGeo.013:Foruse'EastoftheRockyMountainonly.'  
1.251baM  
11baC

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 -Time11:00APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, (Linuron)Chemical1035506(Linuron)UIS2.0

-Page

84

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose{(AIMin.Restr.GeographicLimitations)Use  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(AIun-Rate(AITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)IntexCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DPNA.NSNNSNSNS101G40,C46,C87  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.

DPNA.51ba\*NSNNSNSNS101G46,C87  
1.51baFGeo.013:Seeabove  
1.251baM  
11baC

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS0.501G40,C87  
1.51baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.  
1.251baM  
11baC

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNS101G40,C46,C92  
1.51baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.  
1.251baM  
11baC

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51bNSNSNS01G40,C46,CAD  
1.51baFGeo.013:Seeabove  
1.251baM  
11baC

FLCNA.NSNNSNSNSNS101G46,C93,CAG  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockymountainonly.

FLCNA.51ba\*NSNNSNSNS101B1C40,C46,C92  
1.51baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountains.  
1.251baM  
11baC

WPNA.541ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNS40,C46,CAD  
1.541baF  
1.2321baM  
.4931baC

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(Admin.Restrict.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(Alun-Rate(Altex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)InterCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

CORN, FIELD (con UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't))

WNA .51ba\*NSNNSNSNS101046  
1.51baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.  
1.251baM  
11baC

Spray., Preemergence., Tractor-mounted WNA .541ba\*NS1/1yrNS.771bNSM0,C46,CAD  
spraydr.541baF  
1.2321baM  
.4931baC

PARSUSGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Broadcast., Preemergence., Boomsprayer DFNA .51ba\*NS1/1yrNSCNS1T046

PARSUSGroup: TERRESTRIALFOODCROP

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer DFNA .51ba\*NS1.51bNSNSM0,C46,C92

DFNA .51ba\*NS1.51bNSNSM6,C92

Spray., Postplant., Boomsprayer FICNA .51ba\*NS1.51bNSNS0.C40,C87

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer DFNA .51ba\*NS1.51bNSNSM0,C46,C92

DFNA .51ba\*NS1.51bNSNSM6,C92



ReportRunDate:02/21/95  
PRDReportDate:

-Time1:03APPENDIXA

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical1035506 [Linuron]UIS2.0

-Page

86

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose{(A)Min. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment Rate(A)un-Rate(A)ITex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A] (days) Intexodes  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

PARSNIP (con UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DFNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C87

DFNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C87

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51HNSNS0.CM0,C87

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51HNSNSM0,C46,C92

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51HNSNSM0,C46,C92

FLCNA.51ba\*INS1.51HNSNSNS0,C46,CAD

FLCNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C93,CAG

WPNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46

SORGHUMGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Directedspray., Postemergence., BoomDFNA1ba\*INSNSNSNSM46,C92,G74  
sprayer.

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C92,  
G01(90)

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C87,G03,GC9

FLCNA1ba\*INS1HNSNS0.CM0,C87,G74

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C92,  
G01(90)

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM46,C93,CAG

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C92,  
G01(90)

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,CAD,  
G01(90)

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 --Time11:00APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, [Linuron]Chemical1035506[Linuron]JTS2.0

-Page

8

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitations]Use  
Timing,ApplicationEquipmentRate(A)un-Rate(A)Tex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/(A)(days)Intextodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

SORGHUM(con UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP(con't)

WFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46

Spray.,Postplant.,Boomsprayer.FLCA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.C40,C87,G74,G18

Spray.,Preemergence.,Boomsprayer.DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92,  
1.5lbAM(90)  
.75lbAC

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C92,G74  
1lbAM  
.75lbAC

DFNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C87,G03,G09,  
1.5lbAM  
.75lbAC

FLCA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92,  
1.5lbAM(90)  
.75lbAC

FLCA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,CAD,  
1.5lbAM(90)  
.75lbAC

FLCA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.C40,C87,G74,G18  
1lbAM  
.75lbAC

FLCA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C93,CAG,G03,  
G18

FLCA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92,  
1.5lbAM(90)  
1lbAM  
.75lbAC

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 -Time11:00APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical1035506[Linuron]UTS2.0

-Page

8

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(AI)Min. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing, ApplicationEquipment Rate (AI)un-Rate (AI)Tex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly) &Efficac-lessnotedunlessnotedMax. /crop/yearotherwise) /AI (days) InterCodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

SORGHUM (con UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't))

WFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM6

11baM  
.751baC

SOYBEANS (UNSPECIFIED UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Broadcast., Postemergence., Boomsprayer.FICNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0, C46, C92,  
H01 (60)

Directedspray., Postemergence., BoomDFNA1ba\*INS11NSNSM6, C92, GH9,  
spH01 (60)

DFNA1ba\*NSNS11NSNSM0, C46, C87,  
H01 (60)

DFNA1ba\*NSNS11NSNSM6, C87, H01 (60)

DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0, C40, C46, C92, GE8

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0, C46, C92, G74

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0, C46, C92, G74,  
G94, H01 (60)

FLCNA1ba\*NSNS11NSNSM0, C46, C92, G74,  
G94, H01 (60)

FLCNA 751ba\*NSNS11NSNSM6, C93, CAG,  
.751baM  
.3751baM  
.251baC

FLCNA1ba\*NSNS11NSNSM0, C46, C92

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [(AI)Min. Restr. Geographic Limitations Use  
Timing, Application Equipment Rate (AI)un-Rate (AI)Tex. @Max. Rate unless noted IntervEntry Allowed Disallowed Limitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobial only) & Efficacy less noted unless noted Max. /crop/year otherwise /A) (days) InterCodes  
cycle Influencing Factor (Antimicrobial only) otherwise) otherwise) Dose cycle/crop/year {day(s)}

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

SOYBEANS (UNSPECIFIED) (con't) beGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C87, H01 (60)

Geo. 013: In Midsouth and Southeast apply when soybeans are at least 12 inches tall and when weeds do not exceed 4 inches in height. In Midsouth, application may be made when soybeans are at least 8 inches tall and weeds do not exceed 2 inches in height. Make a single application of 1 to 2 pints per acre (0.5 to 1 pint per acre on 8 inch soybeans). Alternatively, if application is made to 12 inch soybeans, make a split application of 1 pint per acre followed by a second application at same rate after a week or later. Overall dosage not to exceed 2 pints per acre per season, for postemergence treatment.

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, CAD, G74,  
H01 (60)

WPNALba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, G94

Soil incorporated treatment., Early DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, C92, GE8  
preplant., Boomsprayer 64011baF  
.5691baM  
.49791baC

Soil incorporated treatment., Preplant., DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, C92, GE8  
Boomsprayer.

DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, GE8  
.64011baF  
.5691baM  
.49791baC

DFNA 8311ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, GE8  
.8311baF, PA  
.6931baM  
.5541baC

Spray., Early preplant., Boomsprayer DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40, C46, GE8  
.64011baF  
.5691baM  
.49791baC

ReportRunDate:02/21/95  
PRDReportDate:

-Time11:00APPENDIXA

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical035506 [Linuron] LUIS2.0

-Page

9

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(Admin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(Alun-Rate(Altex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)Inter@odes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

SOYBEANS (UNSPECIFIED) (con't) UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

Spray., Preemergence., Aircraft DFNA C\*NSNSNSNS046, C92, GH9

DFNA C\*NSNSNSNS046, C87

FLCNA 51ba\*NSNSNSNS040, C87  
2.51baF  
21baM  
1.51baC

FLCNA 1ba\*NSNSNSNS046, C93, CAG  
2.51baF  
21baM  
1.51baC

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer DFNA 1ba\*NSNSNSNS046, C92, GH9  
2.51baF  
21baM  
1.51baC

DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNS040, C46, C92, GE8  
.64011baF  
.5691baM  
.49791baC

DFNA 64011ba\*NSNSNSNS040, CAA, GE8  
.64011baF  
.5691baM  
.49791baC

DFNA 8311ba\*NSNSNSNS05VA, WV, DE, MD, C46, GE8  
.8311baF, PA  
.6931baM  
.5541baC

DFNA 51ba\*NSNSNSNS040, C46, C87

DFNA 51ba\*NSNSNSNS040, C46, C92, G74  
31baF  
21baM  
1.51baC

ReportRunDate:02/21/95 -Time11:08APPENDIXA  
PRDReportDate:

-CASE0047, [Linuron]Chemical035506[Linuron]UIS2.0

-Page

91

cycle SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(Admin.Restrict.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(Alun-Rate(AlTex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)InterCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

SOYBEANS (UNSPECIFIED) (con't)UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP(con't)

DFNA.751ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM6,C87,G63  
2.51bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

F1CNA1ba\*INS31bNSNSM0,C46,C92,G99  
31bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

F1CNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.C40,C87,G17,GK3  
2.51bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

F1CNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C92,G74  
31bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM6,C93,CAG  
31bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

F1CNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,CAD,G74  
31bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

WPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNSM6,GA3  
31bAF  
21bAM  
1.51bAC

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoiMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(Admin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(AIun-Rate(AITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)Interxodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s)]

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

WHHAWGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Spray., Fall., Boomsprayer FLCNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501040, C87  
Geo.013: Extracted information appliest o areas East of Cascade Range.

FLCNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA46, C93, CAG

FLCNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA01X40, C46, C92  
Geo.013: Extracted information appliest o areas East of Cascade Range.

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer FLCNA .751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501040, C87

FLCNA .751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA40, C46, C92

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer FLCNA .751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501040, C87  
Geo.013: The extracted data (max. dose per application) appliest o areas west of Cascade Range.

FLCNA .751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA46, C93, CAG

FLCNA .751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA01X40, C46, C92  
Geo.013: The extracted data (max. dose per application) appliest o areas west of Cascade Range.

Spray., Spring., Boomsprayer FLCNA 6251ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501040, C87

FLCNA 6251ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA46, C93, CAG

FLCNA 6251ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID, OR, WA01X40, C46, C92  
Geo.013: Extracted data refer to areas east of Cascade Range and where Average Annual rainfall exceeds 16 inches; however in areas east of Cascade Range, with an average rainfall of 10 to 20 inches the dosage is reduced to 0.5 pint and as a tank mixture with another herbicide.

Geo.013: Extracted data refer to areas east of Cascade Range and where Average Annual rainfall exceeds 16 inches; however in areas east of Cascade Range, with an average rainfall of 10 to 20 inches the dosage is reduced to 0.5 pint and as a tank mixture with another herbicide.

ReportRunDate:02/21/95  
PRDReportDate:

-Time11:08APPENDIXA

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical035506 [Linuron]UIS2.0

-Page

93

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose{(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipmentRate(A)un-Rate(A)Tex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise/A)(days)InterCodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

FOOD/FEED

WHEAT(conUs\*Group:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP(con't)

Spray.,Winter.,Boomsprayer FICNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0.501G40,C87

FICNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID,OR,WA46,C93,CAG

FICNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNSNS1ID,OR,WA01X40,C46,C92

Geo.013:ExtracteddatareferstoEastofCascadeRangeandwhereaverageannualrainfallis10to16 inches.

Geo.013:ExtracteddatareferstoEastofCascadeRangeandwhereaverageannualrainfallis10to16 inches.



SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(AIMin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(AIun Rate(AITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)InterCodes  
cycleInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

NONAGRICULTURALUNCULTIVATEDAREAS/SOILUseGroup:TERRESTRIALNON-FOODCROP

Spray.,Whenneeded.,Boomsprayer DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C40,C87

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C93,CAG

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,CAD

WPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS46

ORNAMENTALHERBACEOUSPLANTUseGroup:TERRESTRIALNON-FOODCROP

Spray.,Preemergence.,Boomsprayer DFNA1ba\*INS11bNSNS1046,C92

DFNA1ba\*INSNSNSNS1046,C87

FLCNA1ba\*INS11bNSNS1040,C46,C47,CAD

FLCNA1ba\*INS11bNSNS1046,C93,CAG

WPNA1ba\*INSNSNSNS1046

ReportRunDate:02/21/95  
PRDReportDate:

-Time1:0APPENDIXA

-CASE0047, [Linuron] Chemical035506 [Linuron]UIS2.0

-Page

95

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose [ (A)Min. Restr. Geographic Limitations Use  
Timing, Application Equipment Rate (AIun-Rate (AITex. @Max. Rate unless noted IntervEntry Allowed Disallowed Limitations  
SurfaceType (Antimicrobial only) & Efficacy less noted unless noted Max. /crop/year otherwise) /A] (days) IntervEntry  
cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobial only) otherwise) otherwise) Dose cycle/crop/year [day(s)]  
cycle

USESELEGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

POPLAR (FOREST/SHELTERBELT) Use Group: FORESTRY

Spray., Spring., Boomsprayer DFNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G40, C46, C92  
Before budbreak Geo. 013: Apply in the Midwest only.  
(Use Directed Spray after  
budbreak. DFNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G46, C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G46, C92  
Geo. 013: Apply in the Midwest only.

FLCNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G40, C46, C92  
Geo. 013: Apply in the Midwest only.

FLCNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G46, C93, CAG  
Geo. 013: See above

FLCNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G40, C46, CAD  
Geo. 013: See above

WPNA1ba\*NSNS41bNS101G46  
Geo. 013: See above

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.DoseI(AIMin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(AIun-Rate(AITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedintervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)InterCodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s)]  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

POPLAR (FOREST/SHELTERBELT)UseGroup:FORESTRY

Spray.,Spring.,BoomsprayerDFN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G40,C46,C92  
BeforebudbreakGeo.013:ApplyintheMidwestonly.  
(UseDirectedSprayafter  
budbreak.DFN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G46,C87

DFN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G46,C92  
Geo.013:ApplyintheMidwestonly.

FLCN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G40,C46,C92  
Geo.013:ApplyintheMidwestonly.

FLCN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G46,C93,CAG  
Geo.013:Seeabove

FLCN21bA\*NSNS41bNS01G40,C46,CAD  
Geo.013:Seeabove

WPN21bA\*NSNS41bNS101G46  
Geo.013:Seeabove

SITEApplicationType, ApplicationForm(s)Min. Appl. Max. Appl. SoilMax. #AppsMax. Dose/(Admin. Restr. GeographicLimitationsUse  
 Timing, ApplicationEquipment Rate(Alun-Rate(AITex. @Max. RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
 SurfaceType(Antimicrobiallyonly)&Effica-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise /A) (days) InterCodes  
 cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobiallyonly)otherwise/otherwiseDosecycle/crop/year/day(s)

cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

CORN, SWISS Group: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Directed spray., Postemergence., BoomDNA 51ba\*1NS1.511NS1540,C46,C92  
sprayer.

DFNA .51bA\*1NSNSNSNS46.C92

DFNA 6251bA\*NSNSNSNSNSM0,C46,C87

DFNA.51bA\*NSNSNSNSNS46,C87

FLCNA.51bA\*1NS1.51bNSNS0.C40,C87

FlCNA.51bA\*INS1.51bNSNS40,C46,C92

FLCNA.51bA\*1NS1.51bNSNSNS10,C46,CAD

F1CNA.51bA\*NSNSNSNSNS~~54~~6,C93,CAG

FlCNA.S1bA\*NSNNSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

WPNA .51bA\*NSNSNSNSNS46

COTUSE Group: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Directed spray., Postemergence., Boom DNA. 5lbA\*NSNSNSNS101340,C46,C87,G03,  
sprayGao.013:Apply East of the Rocky Mountain only G14.GA4

DFNA.51bA\*NSNNSNS101346,C87,G03,G14,  
Geo.013;See also

DFNA .51bA\*NSNNSNSNS101346,C92,G03,G14

Geo.013:Apply east of the Rocky Mountain only.

FlCNA.51bA\*NSNSNSNSNSO.501G40.C87.G03.G14.

GA4  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.

FLCNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNS101G40.C46.C47.CAD.

Geo. 013: Use east of Rocky Mountains.

=====

SITE	ApplicationType	ApplicationForm(s)	Min. Appl.	Max. Appl.	SoilMax.	#AppsMax.	Dose[ (AI	Min. Restr.	GeographicLimitations	Use
Timing,	ApplicationEquipment		Rate (AI <td>un-Rate (AI<td>Tex. @<td>Max. Rate<td>unlessnoted<td>intervEntry<td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td></td></td></td></td></td>	un-Rate (AI <td>Tex. @<td>Max. Rate<td>unlessnoted<td>intervEntry<td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td></td></td></td></td>	Tex. @ <td>Max. Rate<td>unlessnoted<td>intervEntry<td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td></td></td></td>	Max. Rate <td>unlessnoted<td>intervEntry<td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td></td></td>	unlessnoted <td>intervEntry<td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td></td>	intervEntry <td>Allowed<td>Disallowed</td></td>	Allowed <td>Disallowed</td>	Disallowed
SurfaceType (Antimicrobialonly)	&Effic	lessnoted	unlessnoted	Max. /crop/year	otherwise) /A]	(days)	Inte	Codes		

=====

cyInfluencingFactor (Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]

cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

COTTON (con UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

FLCNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNS101G46,C93,CAG,G03,  
G14,GA4  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.

FLCNA.51ba\*NSNSNSNS101D1C40,C46,C92,G03,  
G14,GA4  
Geo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountainonly.

Spray., Postemergence., Boomsprayer.FLCNA 751ba\*NSNSNSNS0.501G40,C87,G03,G28  
Geo.013:ApplyEastofRockyMountains.

POTATO, WHITE/IRIS UseGroup: TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP

Spray., Postplant., Boomsprayer.FLCNA NSNSNSNS0.501G40,C87  
Geo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastofRockymountains.

Spray., Preemergence., Aircraft.DFNBC\*NSNSNSNS46,C87

DFN21ba\*NSNSNSNS101D1C46,C92  
Geo.013:UseEastofRockyMountains,NortheastandinCentralSandsAreaofWisconsin.

FLCNA NSNSNSNS46,C93,CAG

Spray., Preemergence., Boomsprayer.DFN21ba\*INS21NSNS101G40,C46,C92  
21baFGeo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockyMountains.InWisconsin-CentralSands  
21baMArea, apply1poundproductperacreonsandsand2poundsperacreonloamysands.In  
1.251baCNortheast, apply2poundsperacreoncoarse soils with3to5percentorganicmatter.  
Apply2.5pounds of product on medium soils with3to5percentorganicmatter.

DFN21ba\*INSNSNSNS101D1C46,C92  
21baFGeo.013:UseEastofRockyMountains,Northeast  
21baMAApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockyMountainsandinCentralSandsAreaofWisconsin.  
1.251baC

SiteApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(A)In-Rate(A)Tex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A] (days)InterCodes  
cyinfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

POTATO, WHITE/IRISH (con't)UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP (con't)

DFN21bA\*NSNSNSNS101040,C46,C87  
21bAFGeo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockymountains.InWisconsin-CentralSands  
21bAMArea,apply1poundproductperacreonsandsand2poundsperacreonloamysands.Inthe  
1.251bACNortheast,apply2poundsperacreoncoarsesoilswith3percentto5percentorganic  
matter.Apply2.5poundsproductperacreonmediumsoilswith3percentto5percent  
organicmatter.

DFN21bA\*NSNSNSNS101046,C87  
Geo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockymountains.InWisconsin-CentralSands  
Area,apply1poundproductperacreonsandsand2poundsperacreonloamysands.

FLCN21bA\*INS21bNSNS101040,C46,C92  
21bAFGeo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockyMountains.InWisconsin-CentralSands  
21bAMArea,apply1pintofproductperacreonsandsand2pintspereacreonloamysands.In  
1.251bACNortheast,apply2pintspereacreoncoarsesoilswith3to5percentorganicmatter.  
Apply2.5pintsofproductonmediumsoilswith3to5percentorganicmatter.

FLCN21bA\*INS21bNSNS101040,C46,CAD  
21bAFGeo.013:Seeabove  
21bAM  
1.251bAC

FLCN21bA\*NSNSNSNS0.501040,C87  
21bAFGeo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastofRockymountain,whereas,inWisconsinapplydosages  
21bAMaccordingtoiltypespecified.  
1.251bAC

FLCN21bA\*NSNSNSNS101046,C93,CAG  
Geo.013:ApplyextracteddosagesEastoftheRockyMountains.InWisconsin-CentralSands  
Area,apply1pintproductperacreonsandsand2pintspereacreonloamysands.Inthe  
Northeast,apply2pintspereacreoncoarsesoilswith3percentto5percentorganic  
matter.Apply2.5pintsofproductperacreonmediumsoilswith3percentto5percent  
organicmatter.

FLCN21bA\*NSNSNSNS101040,C46,C92  
21bAFGeo.013:"DonotuseonanycropinKernCounty,California.ApplyextracteddosagesEast  
21bAMoftheRockymountains.DonotapplyinKernCounty,CA.  
1.251bAC

ReportRunDate:02/21/95  
PRDReportDate:

-Time1:00APPENDIXA

-CASE0047, [Linuron]Chemical1035506[Linuron]UIS2.0

-Page

99

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose[(ATMin.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipmentRate(AIun-Rate(AITex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)Intertodes  
cyInfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year(day(s))  
cycle

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

POTATO,WHITE/IRISH(con'UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP(con't)

WPNA.251ba\*NSNSNSNSNSI01G46

21baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountains.InWisconsin-CentralSandsArea,apply1

21baFpoundproductperacreonsandsand2poundsperacreonloamysands.IntheNortheast,

1.251baFapply2poundsperacreoncoarseoilswith3percentto5percentorganicmatter.Apply

2.5poundsproductonmediumsoilswith3percentto5percentorganicmatter.

NON-FOOD/NON-FEEDUSES

NONAGRICULTURALRIGHTS-OF-WAY/FENCEROWS/HEDGEROWSUseGroup:TERRESTRIALNON-FOODCROP

Spray.,Whenneeded.,BoomsprayerDFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,C92

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C87

DFNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C92

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C87

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,C92

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C93,CAG

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,C92

F1CNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0,C46,CAD

WPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNSNS0

SITEApplicationType,ApplicationForm(s)Min.Appl.Max.Appl.SoilMax.#AppsMax.Dose{(A)Min.Restr.GeographicLimitationsUse  
Timing,ApplicationEquipment Rate(A)Un-Rate(A)Tex.@Max.RateunlessnotedIntervEntryAllowedDisallowedLimitations  
SurfaceType(Antimicrobialonly)&Effic-lessnotedunlessnotedMax./crop/yearotherwise)/A](days)Inter@odes  
cycleinfluencingFactor(Antimicrobialonly)otherwise)Dosecycle/crop/year[day(s)]

USESELIGIBLEFORREREGISTRATION

NON-FOOD/NON-FEED

POTATO,WHITE/IRISH(con't)UseGroup:TERRESTRIALFOOD+FEEDCROP(con't)

WPNA.251ba\*NSNSNSNS101G46

21baFGeo.013:ApplyEastoftheRockyMountains.InWisconsin-CentralSandsArea,apply1

21baFpoundproductperacreonsandsand2poundsperacreonloamysands.IntheNortheast,

1.251baFapply2poundsperacreoncoarse soilswith3percentto5percentorganicmatter.Apply

2.5pounds of product on medium soils with 3 percent to 5 percent organic matter.

NON-FOOD/NON-FEEDUSES

NONAGRICULTURALRIGHTS-OF-WAY/FENCEROWS/HEDGEROWUseGroup:TERRESTRIALNON-FOODCROP

Spray.,Whenneeded.,BoomsprayerDPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS40,C46,C87

DPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

DPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS46,C87

DPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS0,C40,C87

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS46,C93,CAG

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS40,C46,C92

FLCNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS40,C46,CAD

WPNA1ba\*NSNSNSNS46



# LEGEND

## HEADER ABBREVIATIONS

Min.Appl.Rate(A)unless:Minimumdoseforasingleapplicationtoasinglesite.Systemcalculated.Microbialclaimsonly.  
notedotherwise)  
Max.Appl.Rate(A)unless:Maximumdoseforasingleapplicationtoasinglesite.Systemcalculated.  
notedotherwise)  
SoilTex.Max.Dose:Maximumdoseforasingleapplicationtoasinglesiteasrelatedto soil texture (Herbicideclaimsonly).  
Max.#Apps@Max.Rate:MaximumnumberofApplicationsatMaximumDosageRate.Example:"4applicationsperyear" is expressed as "4/1yr"; "4 applications per 3 years" is expressed as "4/3yr"  
Max.Dose(A)unless:Maximumdoseappliedtoasiteoverasinglecropcycleoryear.Systemcalculated.  
notedotherwise)/A)

Min.Interv(days):MinimumIntervalbetweenApplications(days)

Restr.EntryInterv(days):RestrictedEntryInterval(days)

PRDReportDate:LUIScontainsallproductsthatwereactiveorsuspended(andthatwereavailablefromOPPDocumentCenter)asofthisdate.Someproducts registeredafterthisdatemayhavedataincludedinthisreport,butLUISdoesnotguaranteethatallproductsregisteredafterthisdatehave data that has been captured.

SOILTEXTUREFORMAXAPP.RATE

\*:Non-specific

C:Coarse

M:Medium

F:Fine

O:Others

## FORMULATION CODES

DF:WATERDISPERSIBLEGRANULES (DRYFLOWABLE)

FLC:FLOWABLECONCENTRATE

WP:WETTAPLEPOWDER

## ABBREVIATIONS

AN:AsNeeded

NA:NotApplicable

NS:NotSpecified(onlabel)

UC:Unconvertedduetolackofdata(onlabel),orwithoneoffollowingunits:bag,bait,baitblock,baitpack,baitstation,baitstation(s),block,briquet, briquets,bursts, cake, can, canister, capsule, cartridges, coil, collar, container, dispenser, drop, eartag, grains, lure, pack, packet, packets, pad, part, parts, pellets, piece, pieces, pill, pumps, sec, secburst, sheet, spike, stake, stick, strip, tab, tablet, tablets, tag, tape, towelette, tray, unit, --

## APPLICATION RATE

DCNC:DosageCanNotbeCalculated

NoCalc:NoCalculationcanbemade

W:PPMcalculatedbyweight

V:PPMcalculatedbyvolume

U:UnknownwhetherPPMisgivenbyweightorbyvolume

cwt:HundredWeight

nnE-xx:nn times (10 power -xx); for instance, "1.234E-04" is equivalent to ".0001234"

## USE LIMITATION CODES

C14:Grownforseedonly.

C40:Donotapplybyaircraft.

C46:Donotapplythroughanytypeofirrigationsystem.

C47:Donotentertreatedareaswithoutprotectiveclothinguntil24hoursafterapplication.

C87:Donotapplydirectlytowaterorwetlands,orwhererunoffislikelytooccur.

C92:Forterrestrialuses, donotapplydirectlytowaterortoareaswheresurfacewateris present ortointertidalareasbelowtheneanhighwatermark.

C93:Donotapplydirectlytowater.

C94:Donotapplytoanybodyofwater.

CAD:Donotapplydirectlytowaterorwetlands.

CAG:Donotapplywhererunoffislikelytooccur.

CCA:Applicationratesarefor crops established 1 year or more. For newly seeded or transplanted crop, maximum dose per application is 21 lb/A. For preemergence and 1 lb per set emergence; and per crop cycle is 21 lb/A.

G01: day(s) pregrazing interval.

G03:Donotgrazelivestockintreatedareas.

G14:Donotfeedgint rashortreatedfoliagetolivestock.

G28:Donotfeedgint rashortreatedfoliagetodairyanimals.

G63:No partsoftreatedplantsmaybeusedasfoodorfeed.

G74:Donotfeedtreatedfoliagetolivestockorgrazetreatedareas.

G94:Donotfeedtreatedforageorhaytolivestock.  
G99:Donotfeedorgrazeanimalsontreatedareas.  
GA3:Donotgrazelivestockintreatedareasorharvestforforage.  
GA4:Donotfeedtreatedforagetolivestock.  
GC9:Donotgrazeorforagecropgrownintreatedsoilorcutforhayorsilage.  
GE8:Donotgrazeorharvestforforageorhay.  
GH9:Donotfeedlivestockontreatedplantparts.  
GI7:Donotuseseedforfood,feedoroilpurposes.  
GI8:Donotgrazeorfeedforage,silageorfodder(stubble)fromtreatedfieldstodairyanimals.  
GK3:Donotfeedforagetolivestockorallowlivestocktograzeintreatedareas.  
H01: \_day(s)preharvestinterval.  
\*NUMBERINPARENTHESESREPRESENTSTHENUMBEROFTIMEUNITS (HOURS, DAYS, ETC.) DESCRIBEDINTHELIMITATION.

GEOGRAPHICCODES

013:Other  
CA:California  
DE:Delaware  
FL:Florida  
ID:Idaho  
MD:Maryland  
MI:Michigan  
MN:Minnesota  
NC:NorthCarolina  
OH:Ohio  
OR:Oregon  
PA:Pennsylvania  
TX:Texas  
VA:Virginia  
WA:Washington  
WI:Wisconsin  
WV:WestVirginia

**APPENDIX B. Table of the Generic Data Requirements  
and Studies Used to Make the Reregistration Decision**

### GUIDE TO APPENDIX B

Appendix B contains listings of data requirements which support the reregistration for active ingredients within the case Linuron covered by this Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document. It contains generic data requirements that apply to Linuron in all products, including data requirements for which a "typical formulation" is the test substance.

The data table is organized in the following format:

1. Data Requirement (Column 1). The data requirements are listed in the order in which they appear in 40 CFR Part 158, the reference numbers accompanying each test refer to the test protocols set in the Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, which are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 487-4650.

2. Use Pattern (Column 2). This column indicates the use patterns for which the data requirements apply. The following letter designations are used for the given use patterns:

A	Terrestrial food
B	Terrestrial feed
C	Terrestrial non-food
D	Aquatic food
E	Aquatic non-food outdoor
F	Aquatic non-food industrial
G	Aquatic non-food residential
H	Greenhouse food
I	Greenhouse non-food
J	Forestry
K	Residential
L	Indoor food
M	Indoor non-food
N	Indoor medical
O	Indoor residential

3. Bibliographic citation (Column 3). If the Agency has acceptable data in its files, this column lists the identifying number of each study. This normally is the Master Record Identification (MRID) number, but may be a "GS" number if no MRID number has been assigned. Refer to the Bibliography appendix for a complete citation of the study.